intended to expel the Holy Prophet July from Makkah al-Mukarramah. where the Qu- كَادُوا لِيَسْتَفِزُّونَ لَكِ عَلَيْهِ وَنَاكَ عَلَيْهِ وَنَاكَ عَلَيْهِ وَنَاكَ عَلَيْهِ وَنَاكَ عَلَيْهِ وَنَاكُ عَلَيْهِ وَمَاكُ عَلَيْهِ وَمِنْ عَلَيْهِ وَمِنْ عَلَيْهِ وَمِنْ عَلِيهِ وَمِنْ عَلَيْهِ وَمِنْ عَلِيهِ وَمِنْ عَلَيْهِ وَمُؤْلِقُ عَلَيْهِ وَمِنْ عَلَيْهِ وَمِ raysh disbelievers were warned that in the event they expelled the Holy Prophet from Makkah, they too would not be sitting in Makkah in peace any longer. This is the event Ibn Kathir considers to be the weightier choice as the point of reference in the verse. Then, he goes on to explain that this warning of the Holy Qur'an was seen come true by the disbelievers of Makkah with their own eyes. When the Holy Prophet migrated from Makkah al-Mukarramah, they were unable to sit there in peace even for a day. It was within a period of a year and six months that Allah Ta'ala made them assemble on the plains of Badr where seventy of their chiefs were killed and their initial aggressive power was broken. Then came the final outcome of the battle of 'Uhud which made them more awe-stricken, and the last confrontation of the battle of al-Ahzab virtually broke their back. It was in the eighth year of Hijrah that the Holy Prophet conquered the whole of Makkah al-Mukarramah.

The last verse (77): سَنَّةُ مَنْ قَدُ ٱرْسَلَنَا (Such has been Our way with the messengers We sent ...) tells us that, according to the customary way and law of Allah Taʿalā, when a people expel their prophet from his homeland, or compel him to leave by scaring and harassing, then, those people too are not left to continue living there. They are visited by the punishment of Allah Taʿalā.

Verses 78 - 82

اَقِمِ الصَّلُوةَ لِدُلُوكِ الشَّمُسِ اللَى غَسَقِ الَّيُلِ وَقُرُانَ الْفَحُرِ النَّ قُرُانَ الْفَحُرِ النَّ قُرُانَ الْفَحُرِ كَانَ مَشُهُودُا ﴿ ١٧٥ وَ مِنَ اللَّيْلِ فَتَهَجَّدُبِهِ نَافِلَةً لَّكَ غُرِعَسَى آنُ الْفَحُرِ كَانَ مَشُهُودُا ﴿ ١٧٥ وَ مِنَ اللَّيْلِ فَتَهَجَّدُبِهِ نَافِلَةً لَّكَ غُرِعَلَ صِدُق يَبْعَثَكَ رَبُّكَ مَقَامًا مَّحُمُودًا ﴿ ١٨٥ وَ قُلُ رَّبِ الدُّخِلِنِي مُدُخلَ صِدُق وَّاحُعَلُ لِي مِن لَّدُنُكَ سَلُطنًا تَصِيرًا ﴿ ١٨٥ وَ قُلُ رَبِ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ الْمُؤرِجُنِي مُحُرَجَ صِدُق وَّاجَعَلُ لِي مِن لَدُنُكَ سَلُطنًا تَصِيرًا ﴿ ١٨٥ وَقُلُ جَآءَ الْحَقُ وَزَهَقَ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ مِن لَكُونَ نَهُوقًا ﴿ ١٨٥ وَلُنَزِلُ وَقُلُ جَآءَ الطَّلِمِينَ اللَّهُ مِن الْقُرُانِ مَاهُوسَ فِفَآءٌ وَرَحُمَةٌ لِلْمُؤْمِنِينَ * وَلاَ يَزِيدُ الظَّلِمِينَ الاَّ خَسَارًا ﴿ ١٨٥ ﴾ وَتُنْزِلُ خَسَارًا ﴿ ١٨٥ ﴾ وَاللَّهُ مِن اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ الطَّلِمِينَ اللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ اللَّهُ الْعَلَيْمِينَ اللَّهُ عَمَارًا ﴿ ١٨٥ ﴾ وَاللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ الْعَلَيْلُ اللَّهُ الْمُولِ اللَّهُ الْمُعْمَالُ اللَّهُ الْمُعْلَى اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنَ اللَّهُ الْمُعْمَالُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنُ اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنَ اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنِينَ اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنُ اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنُ اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنِينَ اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنُ اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنُ اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنَ اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنُ اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنُ اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنُ اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنِينَ اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنُ اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنُ اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنُ اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنُ اللْمُؤْمِنُ اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنُ اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنُ اللْمُؤْمِنُ اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنُ الْمُؤْمِنُ اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنُ اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنُ اللْمُؤْمِنُ اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنُ اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنُ اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنُ اللْمُؤْمِنُ اللْمُؤْمِنُ اللْمُؤْمِنُ اللْمُؤْمِنُ اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنُ اللْمُؤْمِنُ الللْمُؤْمِنُ الللْمُؤْمُ اللْمُؤْمِنُ اللْمُؤْمِنُ اللْمُؤْمِنُ اللْمُؤْمِنُ اللَّهُ الْمُؤْمِنُ اللْمُؤْمِنُ

Establish $\sin a l \overline{a}h$ between the decline of the sun and the darkness of the night, and the recital at dawn. Surely, the recital at dawn is well attended. [78] And during the night, wake up for $\sin a l \overline{a}h$, an additional prayer for you. It is very likely that your Lord places you at a Praised Station. [79]

And say, "O my Lord, make me enter a rightful entrance and make me exit a rightful exit, and grant me from Your Own a power, favoured (by You)." [80] And say, "Truth has come and falsehood has vanished. Falsehood is surely bound to vanish." [81]

And We reveal the Qur'an which is cure and mercy for the believers. And it adds nothing to the unjust but loss. [82]

Commentary

Şalāh is the best defense against enemy hostility

Previous verses have mentioned the hostility of the enemies of Islam, the plans they made to hurt and harass the Holy Prophet and what was to be done in response. By giving the Holy Prophet the command to establish Ṣalāh in the verses cited above, it is being suggested that it is the best remedy against the mischief made and pain caused by enemies. This is similar to what has been said more clearly in a verse of Sūrah al-Hijr: وَلَقَدُ نَعُلُمُ اَنَّكَ يَضِينُ صَدُرُكَ بِمَا يَقُولُونَ فَسَبِّحُ بِحَمُدِ رَبِّكَ وَكُنُ مِّنَ السَّحِدِينَ It means: "And indeed We know that your heart feels distressed for what they say. So, proclaim the purity and glory of your Lord, and be among those who prostrate." (Qurtubī)

This verse declares devotion to the remembrance of Allah (dhikr) through His praises (ḥamd) and His glorification (tasbih) and Ṣalāh (prayer) as the panacea of pains inflicted by enemies. Dhikr and Ṣalāh are special safety shields against their aggressive designs. Then, it is also not far out to believe that staying safe against the pain inflicted by enemies depends on the help and support of Allah Taʿalā. So, the best medium of acquiring this help is Ṣalāh as stated in the Holy Qurʾan: وَاسْتَعِنُوا بِالْصَبُّرِ وَالصَّلُوةِ (Seek help through patience and prayer - 2:153).

The injunction of five prayers

The majority of leading Tafsir authorities has declared this verse to be a comprehensive injunction for all five daily prayers. The reason is that the word: $\dot{c}'\dot{c}'\dot{c}$ $(dul\overline{u}k)$ is essentially used in the sense of 'decline' and the decline of the Sun begins at the time of meridian - though, it could also be applied to the sunset. But, at this place, the majority of Ṣaḥābah and Tabi'in have taken the word: $\dot{c}'\dot{c}\dot{c}$ $(dul\overline{u}k)$ to mean the post-meridian decline of the Sun. (as explained in details by al-Qurṭubī, al-Maẓharī and Ibn Kathīr).

The word: الى غَسَقِ الَّيلِ (ghasaq) in the next phrase: الى غَسَقِ الَّيلِ means the darkness of the night when it is complete. Imam Malik has reported this very Tafsīr of 'ghasaq' from Sayyidna Ibn 'Abbās .

Thus, within the statement: لِدُلُوكِ الشَّمُسِ إِلَى غَسَقِ الَّذِلِ (between the decline of the Sun and the darkness of the night), four prayers stand covered. These are Zuhr, 'Asr, Maghrib and 'Isha'. And also identified there is the time when two of these prayers begin, that is, the time of Zuhr begins from the decline (zawal) of the Sun and the time of 'Isha' from: غَسَق اللَّيل (ghasaq al-layl) that is, at the time when the darkness of night is complete. Therefore, the great Imam, Abu Hanifah has ruled that the time of 'Isha' begins from the time when, after the red glow, the white glow of the evening also disappears. Everyone knows that, close to the sunset, a red glow appears on the western horizon and, soon after this redness, there spreads a sort of whiteness on it. Then, this whiteness too disappears. It is obvious that the darkness of the night will be complete only when the whiteness of the horizon also goes away. Therefore, these words support the view of Imam Abu Hanifah. Other Imams have ruled that the time of 'Isha' begins after the disappearance of the red glow on the evening horizon and that this is the Tafsir of 'ghasaq al-layl' (the darkness of night).

In the next phrase: وَقُرُانَ الْفَحُرِ (wa Qur'an al-fajr: translated as 'and the recital at dawn'), the word: 'Qur'an' denotes Ṣalāh at this place because the Qur'an is an integral part of Ṣalāh. Most Tafsīr authorities - Ibn Kathīr, al-Qurṭubī, Maẓharī and others - have adopted this very meaning. Therefore, the sense of the verse is that the words: دُلُولُ الشَّمُسُ الْيَلِ (between the decline of the Sun and the darkness of the night) carried a description of four prayers. Identified now is the fifth prayer, that of Fajr. It has been described separately which is an indicator of the particular importance and merit of this Ṣalāh.

The word 'mashh $\overline{u}d$ ' in the expression: کان مشهُورُدًا ($k\overline{a}na\ mashh\overline{u}d\overline{a}$) has been derived from $shah\bar{a}dah$ that means 'to be present.' This is a time when, according to clear statements in authentic Ahadith, both groups of angels, those of the night and those of the day, present themselves for Salah. Therefore, it has been called 'mashh $\overline{u}d$ ' (hence, translated as 'well-attended'). The injunction of five prayers has appeared in this verse briefly. It has been explained fully by the Holy Prophet 🎉 through his word and deed - and unless one acts in accordance with this explanation, no one can perform Salah. I just do not know how those who claim to understand the Qur'an without Hadith and the statements of the Rasul of Allah go about making their Salah? Similarly, in this verse, the recital of the Qur'an within the Salah has also been mentioned briefly. As for its details, it stands proved from the word and deed of the Holy Prophet that the recitation in the Fajr prayer should be long - to the measure of strength and ability, less than that in Zuhr and Jumu'ah, average in 'Asr and 'Isha' and very brief in Maghrib. As for the mention of a long recitation in Maghrib and very brief in Fajr in some reports, it stands practically abandoned. Imam al-Qurtubi carries the riwayah of Sahih Muslim in which the recitation of long Surahs like Surah al-A'raf and Mursalat in the Salah of Maghrib, or the recitation of only the Mu'awwadhatain (the last two Surahs) as sufficient in the Şalah of Fajr have been reported. He, then, comments: فمتروك بالعمل ولانكاره على معاذ التطويل It means that these incidentals of long recitation in وبامره الائمة بالتخفيف Maghrib and brief in Fajr stand abandoned in the light of the constant practice of the Holy Prophet 🎉 as well as his verbal sayings. (Qurṭubi)

The time of the Şalāh of Tahajjud: Injunctions and rulings

The word: وَمِنَ الْيَلِ فَتَهَحَّدُ بِهِ (tahajjud) in: وَمِنَ الْيَلِ فَتَهَحَّدُ بِهِ (And during the night, wake up for Ṣalāh - 79) has been derived from محود hujūd. This word is used for two opposite meanings, that of sleeping and that of waking both. But, the words: وَمِنَ الْيَلِ فَتَهَحَّدُ بِهِ, at this place, mean 'be awake with the Qur'ān in a part of the night' because the pronoun in: به (bihī: with it) reverts back to the Qur'ān. (Mazharī). 'Being awake with the Qur'ān' means the making of Ṣalāh (with full compliance of what it requires). This very nightly prayer is called the prayer of Tahajjud in the terminology of Sharī'ah. Speaking generally, the sense in which it has been taken is that the prayer said after waking up from a short sleep is the Tahaj-

jud. But, according to Tafsīr Mazharī, the sense of the verse is no more than sacrificing sleep to make Ṣalāh during some part of the night. This sense holds good for sleeping a little, then waking up from it and making Ṣalāh. Very similarly, it is also good if one begins by postponing sleep for Tahajjud and makes the Ṣalāh. The condition that one has to sleep before doing the prayer of Tahajjud is not found in the words used by the Qur'an. Apart from this, there are reports from Aḥādīth which support this general sense of Tahajjud.

And the definition of the prayer of Tahajjud reported from al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī by Imām Ibn Kathīr also confirms this element of generality. The words of the report are as follows:

قال الحسن البصري هو ما كان بعد العشاء ويحمل على ما كان بعد النوم

Al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī says: The prayer of Tahajjud holds good for every prayer that is said after al-'Isha' And, (because of actual practice) it will be applied to that which is said after some sleep. (Ibn Kathir)

The outcome is that being after sleep is no condition in the real sense of the prayer of Tahajjud - and this condition is not present in the words of the Qur'an as well. But, speaking generally, it has been the constant practice of the Holy Prophet and his noble Companions that they used to do their Tahajjud prayer after waking up in the later part of the night, therefore, this would be the better way of doing it.

Is the prayer of Tahajjud Fard (obligatory) or Nafl (additional)?

The words: نابل : nafl and نابلة لك: nāfilah in: نابل (an additional prayer for you - 79) literally mean 'additional.' Therefore, prayer (Ṣalāh) and charity (ṣadaqah, khairāt) etc. that is not obligatory or necessary and, the doing of which brings thawāb (reward), and not doing which entails no sin or misconduct, are called nafl. In this verse, by looking at the words: نابلة لا (an additional prayer for you) with the prayer of Tahajjud, it is summarily understood that the prayer of Tahajjud is particularly associated with the Holy Prophet as nafl for him. The fact, though, is that its effect as nafl is shared by all, the Holy Prophet and his entire ummah. Therefore, some respected early commentators have taken 'nāfilah' at this place as an attribute of farīḍah or duty. The meaning given by them is that the Muslim community at large is obligated with five

daily prayers only, but Tahajjud has also been made an additional obligation on the Holy Prophet . So, at this place, the word: $n\overline{a}$ in the sense of an additional obligation, not in the general sense of nafl.

Let us look at the correct investigative position in this matter. When, during the early stage of Islam, Surah al-Muzzammil was revealed, that was a time when five prayers had yet to be obligated. What everyone was obligated with was the prayer of Tahajjud. This obligation has been mentioned in Surah al-Muzzammil (73). Then, it was in the night of the Mi'rai (Ascent) that five prayers were made obligatory (fard). So, as for the obligatory nature of Tahajjud, it was abrogated as a duty on the Muslim community at large, by consensus. However, difference did exist about its obligatory nature. Was it also abrogated in the case of the Holy Prophet 🧱? Or, did it remain an obligation on him as a matter of special consideration - and in this verse, the expression: نَافِلُةٌ لَّك (nafilatal-lak) means exactly that 'the prayer of Tahajjud is an additional obligation on you.' But, according to Tafsir al-Qurtubi, this is not correct for many reasons. Firstly, there is no justification for taking nafl as fard. If it is supposed to be figurative speech, then, there will be no reality against it. Secondly, in authentic Ahadith, only five fixed prayers have been mentioned as obligatory (fard). At the end of another Ḥadīth, it has been said that the fifty prayers made obligatory initially in the nocturnal journey of the Mi'raj were later reduced in number and set at five. Thus, the number was, though, reduced but the reward promised against these The word) مَا يُبِدَّلُ الْقَوْلُ لَدَىَّ :The was said) مَا يُبِدَّلُ الْقَوْلُ لَدَىَّ [given to My servants] will not be changed with Me - Qaf, 50:29). It means: When the command was given for fifty, the reward shall be given for no less than fifty, though the number to be actually performed was reduced.

al and permission.

Similarly, this is the position declared to be correct and sound in Tafsīr Mazharī which says: When the obligatory status of Tahajjud was abrogated in the case of the Muslim ummah, it stood abrogated in the case of the Holv Prophet sa well. What remained was nafl for everyone. But, this position raises a question: What is the singularity of the Holy Prophet in it? That it is naft for everyone already stands proved. What, then, would be the outcome of saying: نَافِلَةُ لَك (an additional prayer for you)? The answer is that, according to clear statements of Ahadith, all kinds of voluntary offerings and nafl 'Ibadat made by the Muslim ummah expiate their sins and serve as complements to whatever shortcomings remain in the performance of their obligatory prayers. But, the Holv Prophet is not only that he is infallible (ma'sum) against sins, he is also above from any shortcomings in the observance of the etiquette of Salah. Therefore, as far as he is concerned, nafl 'Ibadat are nothing but additional. These cannot make amends for any shortcomings. Instead, these are simply a source of increased nearness to Allah. (Qurtubi. Mazharī)

Is the prayer of Tahajjud Nafl or Sunnah al-Mu'akkadah?

As for Sunnah al-Mu'akkadah (the emphasized Sunnah), Muslim jurists have a standing rule. Whatever the Holy Prophet that has done constantly, never leaving it unless under compulsion, is Sunnah al-Mu'akkadah - except that it is proved on the authority of an Islamic legal argument that the particular act was special to the Holy Prophet and was not for the Muslim community in general. This rule will obviously require that the prayer of Tahajjud should also be taken as Sunnah al-Mu'akkadah for everyone and not a mere nafl. The reason is that the constancy of the Holy Prophet in the matter of this Salah stands proved as an uninterrupted Sunnah. And there is no proof of its being restricted to the Holy Prophet . Therefore, it should be Sunnah al-Mu'akkadah for the Muslim *ummah* at large as well. This is the position Tafsīr Mazhari rates as preferable, weightier and worthier. In proof of this being 'emphasized' (al-mu'akkadah), it has pointed out to the Hadīth in which the Holy Prophet said about a person who used to say his Tahajjud prayer earlier but left it later: 'the Satan has soiled his ear.' Such a drastic admonition and warning does not sound in order against the

abandonment of *nafl* only. This tells us that it is Sunnah al-Mu'akkadah (an emphasized Sunnah).

And as for the respected elders who take the position that Tahajjud is only a nafl, they declared this perseverance and constancy to be the hallmark of the Holy Prophet. Then, what he said as admonition against the abandonment of Tahajjud by the person who used to do it before was not really said against its abandonment by him as such. Instead, it was said against first getting used to it, then abandoning it. The reason is that a person who gets into the habit of doing a certain nafl must continue with it assiduously - and there is a consensus of the Muslim ummah on it. If one leaves it after being in the habit of doing it, he will be blameworthy because leaving it without excuse after the habit is the sign of certain evasion and reluctance. However, there is no blame on the person who is just not in the habit of doing it from the very beginning. Allah knows best.

The number of Raka'āt in the prayer of Tahajjud

In the Ṣaḥiḥ of al-Bukhāri and Muslim, as narrated by Sayyidah 'Ā'ishah رضى الله عنها, 'the Holy Prophet would never say more than eleven $raka\overline{a}t$, in Ramaḍān or during months other than it.' Out of these eleven, according to the Ḥanafiyyah, three $raka\overline{a}t$ used to be that of witr, the remaining eight being that of Tahajjud.

And in a narration of Ṣaḥiḥ Muslim, the following words of Sayyidah 'Ā'ishah رضى الله عنها have been reported: 'The Holy Prophet عنه used to say thirteen raka at during the night which includes witr and two raka at of the Sunnah of Fajr as well.' (Mazhari) The Sunnah of Fajr has been counted with the nightly prayer because they are performed in almost the same sequence. These reports tell us that the usual habit of the Holy Prophet was to offer eight raka at in the prayer of Tahajjud.

But, from a report coming from Sayyidah 'A'ishah رضى الله عنها herself, it also stands proved that, on occasions, he has done less than that number - four or six - as being sufficient. When Sayyidnā Masrūq هغلاط asked her about the prayer of Tahajjud, she has been reported in the Ṣaḥiḥ of al-Bukhāri to have said: 'There used to be seven, nine and eleven $raka \bar{a}t$ - other than the Sunnah of Fajr' (Mazhari from al-Bukhāri). According to the Ḥanafiyyah, if three $raka \bar{a}t$ are that of witr, four out of

seven, six out of nine and eight out of eleven turn out to be the $rak \overline{a}t$ of Tahajjud.

In what manner was the prayer of Tahajjud offered?

Narrative reports as they generally appear in Ahadith prove that the first two $rak\overline{a}t$ in the beginning were light with a brief recitation. Then, in the rest of the $rak\overline{a}t$, the recitation was long and so were the $ruk\overline{u}'$ and sajdah - and, at times, this length would become too much and, at others, somewhat less. (This is a summary of the Ḥadith reports taken from Tafsīr Mazharī at this place)

The Praised Station

The Holy Prophet has been promised مقام محمود (Maqām Maḥmūd: The Praised Station) in this verse and this station is, out of all prophets, particular to the Holy Prophet . As to its explanation, there are different sayings. But, the most sound of them is what appears in authentic Aḥādīth in the words of the Holy Prophet himself. This Station is the station of the Grand Intercession (al-shafā'ah al-kubrā). This will come to pass on the plains of Resurrection (al-Ḥashr) when all children of 'Ādam will be there requesting every prophet and messenger of Allah to intercede with Him on their behalf. All prophets will offer their excuse. Only the Holy Prophet shall be blessed with the honorable station of interceding on behalf of the entire progeny of 'Ādam. Ḥadīth reports carry lengthy relevant details that appear in Tafsīr Ibn Kathīr and Mazharī at this point.

The intercession made by prophets and the righteous will be accepted

Out of the Islamic sects, the Khawarij and the Mu'tazilah deny the intercession of prophets. They say that a major sin will not be forgiven through intercession by anyone. But, Aḥadith coming in uninterrupted succession prove that the intercession for sinners will be accepted from prophets عليهم السلام, even from the righteous of the community. There will be many whose sins will be forgiven through intercession.

Based on a report from Sayyidnā 'Uthmān , it appears in Ibn Mājah and al-Baihaqī that the Holy Prophet said: On the day of Qiyāmah, the blessed prophets will be the first to intercede on behalf of sinners, then the 'Ulamā' and then the Shuhadā'. According to Dailamī

reporting from Sayyidna Ibn 'Umar , the Holy Prophet said that the 'Alim will be told that he could intercede on behalf of his disciples, even if their number matches the number of stars.

And Abū Dāwūd and Ibn Hibbān carry a narration of Sayyidnā Abū al-Dardā' , with its chains ascending to the Holy Prophet who said: The intercession made by a Shahīd (شهيد) will be accepted in favor of seventy people from his family.

The Musnad of Aḥmad, al-Ṭabarānī and al-Baihaqi authentically report Sayyidnā Abū Umāmah an narrating that the Holy Prophet said: On the intercession of one person from my ummah many people, more than the entire number of the people of the tribes of Rabī'ah and Muḍar, will be admitted to Jannah.

A question and its answer

The question is when the Holy Prophet will himself intercede and no believer will remain in Hell because of it - why and how will the 'Ulama' and the righteous intercede? The answer appears in Tafsīr Mazharī: perhaps, the 'Ulama' and the righteous of the community will present their intercession in favor of whomsoever they wish before the Holy Prophet who will then intercede with Allah Taʿalā.

An important note

In a Ḥadīth, the Holy Prophet said: شَفَاعَتِيُ لِاَهُلِ الْكَبَائِرِ مِنْ أُمِتِي (My intercession shall be for people who had committed major sins). This seems to tell us that those involved with major sins will be forgiven particularly through the intercession of the Holy Prophet. Any angel or any individual from the Muslim community will not be able to intercede in the case of those who have committed major sins. Instead of that, the intercession made by the righteous of the Muslim community will be for those who were involved with minor sins.

The Station of Intercession could be reached through the efficacy of the prayer of Tahajjud

Hadrat Mujaddid Alf Thani, the famous religious revivalist of the first Islamic millenium in India, said that, in this verse, the Holy Prophet was first given the command to make the prayer of Tahajjud, then he was promised the Praised Station, that is, the Station of Grand Intercession. This tells us that the prayer of Tahajjud serves as a special

via media in acquiring the Station of Intercession.

Before we proceed to verse 80, let us recapitulate what was said in the verses previous to it. Mentioned there first were the pains inflicted on the Holy Prophet and the conspiracies they kept hatching to hurt and harm him. Along with it, it was also said that these hostile plans made by them will never succeed. In contrast, the Holy Prophet was being blessed with the real plan to offset their evil by prompting him to establish the five prayers, and the Tahajjud. Following that, he has been promised the Praised Station, the highest among all prophets - a promise to be fulfilled in the Hereafter. Now, in this verse 80: وَقُلُ رُبُ لَا لَهُ اللهُ اللهُ

It has been reported in al-Jāmi' of Tirmidhī from Sayyidnā 'Abdullāh ibn 'Abbās ' that the Holy Prophet ' was in Makkah al-Mu'azzamah. Then, he was commanded to migrate to Madīnah. Thereupon, this verse was revealed: وَأُلُ رَّبِ اَدُخِلْنِي مُدُحَلَ صِدُق وَآخَرِ جَنِي مُخَرَج صِدُق (And say, "O my Lord, make me enter a rightful entrance and make me exit a rightful exit - 80). Here, the word: مُخُرَة (mukhraj) meaning the place of entry and the place of exit are اسم ظرف (ism-al-zarf: the noun of place and time). The addition of the attribute (na't) of عِدُنَ :şidq (translated as rightful) releases the sense of such entry and exit being totally true to the will and pleasure of Allah and in the best of attending circumstances, because the word: صِدُق (sidq) is also used in the Arabic language for every such act as is correct and better both outwardly and inwardly. The words: سَدُنَ صِدُق (Yūnus 10:2), اِسَانَ صِدُق (ash-Shu'arā' 26:84) and مَدُدُق (al-Qamar 54:55) have been used in the Holy Qur'ān in that very sense.

'Entrance' means 'Madinah' and the place of exit denotes 'Makkah.' The sense takes the form of a prayer: O Allah, may my entry into Madinah turn out to be good and smooth, without having to face anything unpleasant and unwelcome on arrival there. And may my exit from Makkah be good and smooth, without being entangled in love of country and home.' There are other exegetic statements too which explain this verse. But, this particular explanation has been reported from Hasan al-Baṣrī

and Qatādah. Ibn Kathīr calls it 'the most sound statement.' Ibn Jarīr too has gone by it. As for the order, it required that the 'exit' should have been mentioned first while the 'entrance,' later. But, the precedence of 'entrance' and the succession of 'exit' is there, perhaps, to indicate that the exit from Makkah had no purpose of its own, in fact, parting from the Baytullah was extremely shocking. But, there was a purpose - to look for peace, for Islam and Muslims - something that could be hoped for through the entry in Madīnah. Therefore, the objective to be achieved was made to come first.

A prayer for important objectives

At the time of his migration to Madinah, Allah Ta'ala asked the Holy Prophet $\not\cong$ to make this $du\overline{a}$ which pleaded with Him that his exit from Makkah and then the arrival in Madinah should both be good and smooth in all possible ways. It was the outcome of this prayer that, though he was within the striking range of the pursuing disbelievers at the time of Hijrah, yet Allah Ta'ala shielded him at every step and finally made Madinah al-Tayyibah good and promising for him and for all Muslims, both outwardly and inwardly. Therefore, some 'Ulama' have said that every Muslim should remember to make this prayer at the beginning of all objectives they wish to pursue and that this prayer is beneficial for all objectives and purposes. The sentence which complements and grant me": وَاجْعُلُ لِي مِنْ لَدُنُكَ سُلُطَانًا نَّصِيْرًا : "and grant me from Your Own a power favoured (by You)." Qatadah, the great tabi'i says: the Holy Prophet knew that fulfilling his functional duties as a prophet and working while besieged by enemies were challenges he could not handle personally. Therefore, He prayed to Allah Ta ala for help and the power to subdue. The prayer was answered and everyone saw its effects.

Verse 81: وَقُلُ حَآءَ الْحَقُ وَرَهَىٰ الْبَاطِلُ (And say, "Truth has come and falsehood has vanished") was revealed after Hijrah about the conquest of Makkah. Sayyidnā Ibn Masʿud ﷺ says that on the day Makkah was conquered, the Holy Prophet ﷺ entered Makkah. At that time, there were three hundred and sixty idols standing around the Baytullah. Some 'Ulamā' report a reason for this particular number. They say that the Mushriks of Makkah had a separate idol for each day of the year that they used to worship on the given day. (Qurţubī) When the Holy Prophet ﷺ

reached there, this was the verse he had on his blessed lips: جَاءَ الْحَقُّ وَزُهَىٰ (Truth has come and falsehood has vanished) while he went round striking at each single idol on the chest with his stick. (al-Bukhārī and Muslim)

It appears in some Ḥadīth narratives that the end of this stick had a pewter or iron ferrule mounted on it. So, when the Holy Prophet would hit an idol on the chest, it would fall back. In the end, when all idols fell down, he gave orders that they be demolished. (Qurtubī with reference to Qādī 'Iyād and al-Qushairī)

It is necessary to erase customs and symbols of polytheism, disbelief and falsehood

Imām al-Qurṭubī said that this verse proves that it is $w\bar{a}jib$ (necessary, obligatory) to erase the idols of Mushriks and all other polytheistic symbols and signs - and all such false instruments that are used in the way of sin and disobedience as well. They too come under the purview of the same injunction. Ibn al-Mundhir said that pictures and sculpted figures made in wood or metal etc. also come under the injunction governing idols. The Holy Prophet had torn the curtain having pictures made on it in lines and colors. This, incidentally, tells us about the general injunctions covering common pictures. According to Ṣaḥīḥ Ḥadīth, when Sayyidnā Tsā will come towards the later times, he will undo the cross and eliminate the swine. These things prove that it is necessary to demolish and eliminate the instruments of shirk, sufr and satil.

We can now move to the last verse (82) cited above: وَنُسُولُ مِن الْفُرُانِ مِن الْفُرَانِ مِن الْفُرُانِ مِن الْفُرُانِ مِن الْفُرَانِ مِنْ الْمُعْرَانِ مِنْ الْفُرَانِ مِنْ الْمُرَانِ مِنْ الْمُرَانِ مِنْ الْمُعْرَانِ مِنْ الْمِنْ الْمُعْرَانِ مِنْ الْمُعْرَانِ الْمُعْرَانِ الْمُعْرَانِ مِن

some treatment for it. They recited the Surah al-Fatiḥah seven times, blew their breath on him and the ailing patient stood cured. Later, when this incident was mentioned before the Holy Prophet , he declared this action of the Companions to be permissible.

Similarly, there are several other Ḥadīth reports which prove that the Holy Prophet has done it himself. After having recited the last two verses of the Qur'an, he would blow his breath on the sick person. It is also proved that the Ṣaḥābah and the Tābi'īn used to treat sick people with the recital of the last two verses of the Qur'an as well as with the recital of other verses from it. That it was written and placed around the neck as an amulet also stands proved. Al-Qurṭubī has given details about it under his commentary on this verse.

The last sentence of the verse: وَلاَ يَزِيُدُ الظّٰلِمِينَ إِلاَّ حَسَارًا (And it adds nothing to the unjust but loss - 82) tells us that the Holy Qur'an - when recited with faith and reverence - is certainly a cure. It is open. It is proven. Conversely, any denial of the Qur'an, or irreverence to it, could also become a source of loss and misfortunes.

Verses 83 - 84

وَإِذَاۤ أَنُعَمُنَا عَلَى الْإِنُسَانِ اَعُرَضَ وَنَا بِحَانِبِهِ ۚ وَإِذَا مَسَّهُ الشَّرُّكَانَ يَعُمُلُ عَلَى شَاكِلَتِهِ ۚ فَرَبُّكُمُ اَعُلَمُ بِمَنُ هُوَ يَعُوسًا ﴿ مَرَبُّكُمُ اَعُلَمُ بِمَنُ هُو اَهُدَى سَبِيلاً ﴿ مَهُ اَعُلَمُ بِمَنُ هُو اَهُدَى سَبِيلاً ﴿ مُهُ ﴾

And when We bestow Our favor upon man, he avoids (to recognize it) and keeps himself far aside (from obedience), and when some evil touches him, he is in total despair. [83]

Say, "Everyone acts in his own style. For, your Lord knows best which one is better guided in his way." [84]

Commentary

Regarding the explanation of the word: شَاكِلَةُ (shākilah) in verse 84: كُلُّ يَّعُمُلُ عَلَى شَاكِلَتِهُ (Everyone acts in his own style), several interpretations have been reported from authorities among the early righteous elders, such as, disposition, habit, instinct, intention, way or manner. In sum,

all these turn into a second nature in terms of the circumstances, habits and customs everyone lives with. Thus, what one does remains subservient to it. (Qurtubi) In this verse, human beings have been warned that they must abstain from bad surroundings, bad company and bad habits and take to the company of good people and inculcate good habits (al-Jassas). The reason, as stated earlier, is that one's response pattern built up under the influence of surrounding, company and custom makes one do what it dictates. Imam al-Jassas has also given another meaning of 'shakilah' at this place, that of 'like.' Given this meaning, the sense of the verse would be that everyone feels comfortable with a person who is compatible with one's temperament. A good man finds another good man familiar while a wicked man feels comfortable with another wicked man whose style he follows without any qualms of conscience. An example of this behavior pattern appears in what Allah says in the Quran: الْحَبِيثُاتُ Good women) وَالطَّيِّبُ لِلطَّيِّبُ لِلطَّيِّبِينَ Evil women are for evil men - 24:26) and لِلْحَبَيْثِينَ are for good men - 24:26). It means that everyone strikes familiarity with a man or woman according to one's own temperament. In short, this too is a warning against falling into bad company and bad habits. One should really make an effort to abstain from these.

Verses 85 - 89

وَيَسْتُمُلُونَكَ عَنِ الرُّوُحِ * قُلِ الرُّوْحُ مِنُ اَمْرِ رَبِّى وَمَا أُوتِيْتُمْ مِّنَ الْعِلْمِ اللَّا قَلِيلًا ﴿ ﴿ ﴿ ﴿ ﴾ وَلَقِنُ شَيْنَا لَنَذُهُ بَنَّ بِالَّذِي آو حَيُنَا اللَيكَ ثُمَّ لاَ تَحِدُ لَكَ بِهِ عَلَيْنَا وَكِيلًا ﴿ ﴿ لَهِ فَلَا مَرَدُهُ اللَّا مَرَدُهُ اللَّهُ وَاللَّهِ اللَّهُ وَاللَّهِ اللَّهُ وَاللَّمِنَ عَلَيْكَ * إِنَّ فَضُلُه ' كَانَ عَلَيْكَ كَبِيرًا ﴿ ﴿ لَهُ فَلَهُ اللَّهُ وَلَوْكَانَ مَعْنَ اللَّهُ وَالْحِنُ عَلَى اَنُ يَّاتُوا بِمِثُلِ هِذَا الْقُرُانِ مِن كُلِّ مَثَلٍ فَا لَيْ اللَّهُ وَلَوْكَانَ مَعْنَ اللَّهُ مَنْ اللَّهُ وَلَوْكَانَ مِن كُلِّ مَثَلٍ فَا لَيْنَ الْكَاسِ فِي هُذَا الْقُرُانِ مِن كُلِّ مَثَلٍ فَاللَّهُ اللَّهُ الللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللللْلَهُ الللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللْهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللللللَّهُ اللللْلِي اللللْهُ الللْهُ اللَّهُ اللللْهُ الللْهُ اللَّهُ الللِّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ الللللْمُ اللَّهُ الللللْمُ اللللللْمُ اللَّهُ الللْمُ اللَّهُ اللْمُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللْمُ الللْمُ اللَّهُ اللللْمُ اللْمُ اللللْمُ اللللللْمُ اللللْمُ الللّهُ اللللْمُو

And they ask you about the soul. Say, "The soul is something from the command of my Lord, and you are not given but a little from the knowledge." [85]

And if We so will, We will surely take away what We have revealed to you, then you shall find no one to rely upon against Us in this matter. [86] But it is a mercy from your Lord. Great is indeed His favor upon you. [87]

Say, "If all the humans and *jinns* join together to produce the like of this Qur'an, they shall not (be able to) come up with its like, even though they back up one another. [88]

And surely We have explained for the people in this Qur'an every subject in various ways. Still most of the people refused to do anything but reject. [89]

Commentary

The first verse (85) appearing above carries a question posed by disbelievers about Rūḥ (soul, spirit) along with its answer that came from Allah Taʿalā. The word: اَلُوْرُ اللهُ (al-rūḥ) is used in the Arabic language and idiom, and in the Holy Qurʾan as well, to convey several meanings. The well-recognized meaning taken from this word is common knowledge, that is, the soul on which depends life. In the Holy Qurʾan, this word has also been used for the archangel, Jibraʾil al-Amīn (Gabriel, the Trustworthy): نَرُلُ بِهِ الرُّوْحُ الْأَمِينُ عَلَى قَلْبِكَ (The Trustworthy Spirit has brought it down upon your heart - ash-Shuʿaraʾ 26:193,194). It has also been used for Sayyidna ʿĪsa المُؤْمُنُ الْمُرْدُلُ وَحُا مِنْ اَمُرِدُا وَمُنْ اَمُرُدُا وَمُعْ مِنْ اَمُرْدُا وَمُنْ اَمُرُدُا وَمُنْ اَمُرُدُا وَمُنْ اَمُرُدُا وَمُنْ اَمُرُدُا وَمُعْ اللهُ وَمُعْالِمُ وَالْمُعَالِمُ وَمُعْالِمُ وَمُعَالِمُ وَمُؤْمِدُا مِنْ اَمُرِدُا وَمُنْ اَمُرُدُا وَمُؤْمِدُا وَالْمُعْالِمُ وَالْمُعُلِمُ وَمُؤْمِدُا وَمُؤْمِدُا وَالْمُؤْمِدُودُ وَالْمُعُلِمُ وَمُلُّمُ وَالْمُؤْمِدُا وَالْمُعُلِمُ وَالْمُؤْمِدُودُ وَالْمُعُلِمُ وَالْمُعُلِمُ وَالْمُؤْمِدُا وَالْمُعُلِمُ وَالْمُعُلِمُ وَالْمُؤْمِدُا وَالْمُعُلِمُ وَالْمُؤْمِدُا وَالْمُؤْمِلُودُ وَالْمُؤْمِدُا وَالْمُؤْمِدُا وَالْمُؤْمِدُا وَالْمُؤْمِدُودُ وَالْمُؤْمِ

What does 'Ruh' signify?

Therefore, the first thing to be determined here is the intent of the questioners as to which meaning they had in mind when they had asked the question about the Rūḥ. Some respected early commentators have, in view of the context, declared this question as related to revelation and Qur'ān, or to angel Jibra'īl who brought it. The apparent reason is that the Qur'ān was mentioned earlier in:

(And We reveal the Qur'ān - 82) and it is again the Qur'ān that finds mention in the verses after that. Given this congruity, they found it appropriate to take 'Rūḥ' in this question too as signifying nothing but Waḥy and Qur'ān, or Jibra'īl. In that case, the question would be about how the Waḥy or revelation came to him and who brought it. In response to the question, the Holy Qur'ān considered it sufficient to say that the revelation was from

the command of Allah. It elected to be silent about its details and modalities that the questioners were seeking.

But, the background of the revelation of this verse given in authentic Aḥādīth ascending to the Holy Prophet is just about very clear in respect of the nature of the question. Those asking the question had asked about the living Rūḥ and the underlying purpose was to find out the reality of Rūḥ. What is it? How does it come in and go out of the human body? How does it make man and animal come alive? According to the Ṣaḥīḥ of al-Bukhārī and Muslim, Sayyidnā 'Abdullāh ibn Mas'ūd narrates:

'Once I was walking with the Holy Prophet through an unpopulated part of Madinah. He had a stick from the branch of a date palm in his blessed hand. When he passed by some Jews, they were talking among themselves: Muhammad is coming. Ask him about the Rūḥ. Others asked them not to do that. But, those bent on asking went ahead and asked the question. After having heard the question, the Holy Prophet reclined on his stick and stood silent which gave me the inkling that the revelation was about to come on him. After a little while, when the revelation had come to him, he recited the verse: وَيَسْتُلُونَكَ عَنِ الرُّورَ (And they ask you about the Rūḥ).'

At this point, it is understandable that it was a terminology of the Qur'an when it called the Qur'an, or Wahy (revelation) as Ruh. That the question asked by these people was based on it is too far out. Of course, the matter of the living Ruh, human or animal, is such as would naturally emerge in everyone's mind. Therefore, the majority of commentators - Ibn Kathir, Ibn Jarir, al-Qurtubi, Abu Ḥayyan, 'Alusi confirm the view that the question related to the reality of the living Ruh. As for the continuity of references to the Qur'an in the context and that the question-answer interlude about Ruh coming in between would be incoherent, its answer is clear. Previous verses have mentioned hostile questions asked by the disbelievers and Mushriks. Their objective thereby was to test the Holy Prophet sconcerning his claim to be a messenger of Allah. This question too is a link of the same chain. Therefore, it is not incoherent. There is yet another authentic Hadith reported about the background of the revelation of this verse particularly. The position being explained here has been covered there

more explicitly, that is, the purpose of the questioner was to test the authority of the Holy Prophet as a Messenger.

Accordingly, a report of Sayyidnā 'Abdullāh ibn 'Abbās appearing in the Musnad of Aḥmad says that the Quraysh of Makkah who kept addressing all sorts of questions to the Holy Prophet hit upon the idea that the Jews were learned and knew about past scriptures. Why not ask them about what to ask the Holy Prophet and test him thereby? Therefore, they sent their men to the Jews seeking their guidance in this matter. They told them to ask about the Rūḥ. (Ibn Kathīr) Sayyidnā Ibn 'Abbās has also been reported to have said in his explanation of this verse that the Jews had also said while asking this question - 'you tell us how does punishment affect the Rūḥ.' By that time, nothing had been revealed to the Holy Prophet about it. Therefore, he did not answer the question instantly at that time. Then, came angel Jibra'īl with the verse: 'Say, "the Rūḥ is from the command of my Lord.") (Abridged from Ibn Kathīr)

The incident of the question: Did it happen in Makkah or Madinah?

Before we resolve this aspect of the question, we have to consider the two Hadith reports relating to the background of the revelation of this verse, that of Sayyidna Ibn Mas ud and Ibn 'Abbas , referred to above. Out of the two, according to the report of Sayyidna Ibn Mas'ud , this incident about the question came to pass in Madinah - and that is the reason why some commentators have declared this verse to be Madani, though a major portion of Surah Bani Isra'il (al-Isra') is Makki. As for the report from Sayyidna Ibn 'Abbas &, it places the incident at Makkah. In accordance with that, this verse too remains Makki like the whole Surah. Therefore, Ibn Kathir has declared this very probability to be weightier and more acceptable. And as for the report of Sayyidna Ibn Mas ud , he responds by saying that it is possible that this verse was revealed in Madinah a second time - as a repeated revelation of many verses of the Qur'an is an accepted fact in the sight of all 'Ulama'. And Tafsīr Mazharī, by declaring the report of Sayyidnā Ibn Mas'ūd as weightier and more acceptable, has determined the incident to be that of Madinah and the verse to be Madani. It gives two reasons for it. Firstly, this report appears in the two Sahihs of al-Bukhari and Muslim and its

authority is stronger as compared to the report of Sayyidnā Ibn 'Abbās Secondly, in this report, Sayyidnā Ibn Masūd is a part of the incident. He is narrating an event that was his own. This makes it contrary to the report of Sayyidnā Ibn 'Abbās where, it is obvious, he would have heard it as told by someone (because he was too young at that time to witness the occurance).

The answer to the question asked

The Holy Qur'an said: قُل الرُّوُّ حُ مِنُ آمُر رَبِّي (Say, "the Ruh is from the command of my Lord."). While explaining the answer, statements and interpretations given by commentators differ. The closest and the clearest of these is what Qadi Thana'ullah Panipati has preferred in his Tafsir Mazhari. He says: Only what was necessary and what common people would understand has been told - and the full reality of Ruh, that the question sought, was not unfolded because it was beyond the comprehension of common people and, for that matter, nothing they needed hinged on understanding it. Here, the Holy Prophet was asked to respond by saying that 'the Ruh is from the command of my Lord.' In other words, it is not like the usual created that come into existence through the dispersal of matter and procreation. In fact, it is something created directly through the command (¿Ś: kun: be) of Allah Ta'ala. At least, this much of the answer makes it clear that Ruh cannot be taken on the analogy of common matter - which removes all those doubts that emerge as a result of trying to understand Ruh through the prism of materialistic inquiry. The hard truth is that this much knowledge of Ruh is sufficient for man. No business, religious or worldly, depends on knowing more than that. Therefore, taking that part of the question as redundant and unnecessary, it was not answered - specially when understanding its reality is something not easy even for the wisest of the wise, not to say much about the common people.

Answering every question is not necessary unless religiously advisable

Imam Abu Bakr al-Jassas has deduced from this answer the ruling that it is not necessary for the Mufti and 'Alim to answer every question and every aspect from it as posed by the questioner. Instead of doing that, the answer should be given with religious advisability kept in sight. Any answer that is above the comprehension of the addressee, or

should there be the danger of his or her falling into misunderstanding, then, such an answer should not be given. Similarly, not to be answered are questions that are unnecessary ($\forall z : l\overline{a} \ ya'n\overline{i}$). Yes, should there be a person who faces a situation in which he must act one way or the other and who is no ' \overline{A} lim, then, it is necessary for the Mufti and ' \overline{A} lim to give an answer in the light of his knowledge. (\overline{Jassas}) Im \overline{a} m al-Bukh \overline{a} ri has devoted a chapter heading in Kit \overline{a} b al-' \overline{I} lm to highlight this point. He has said that a question the answer to which is likely to cause misunderstanding should not be answered.

Whether or not it is possible for anyone to have the knowledge of the reality of $R\overline{u}h$

The Holy Qur'an has given an answer to this question in accordance with the need and comprehension of the addressee. It has not elected to unfold the reality of Ruh. But, it does not necessarily imply that no human being can simply understand the reality of Ruh and that the Holy Prophet also did not know its reality. The truth of the matter is that this verse neither negates nor confirms it. If a prophet or messenger were to come to know its reality through Wahy (revelation), or a Waliyy (man of Allah) through Kashf (illumination) and Ilham (inspiration), then, it is not contrary to this verse. In fact, even if this matter is debated and investigated in terms of reason and philosophy, it would certainly be called useless and unnecessary, but it cannot be called impermissible. Therefore, many learned scholars from the early and later period of Islam have written standard books about Ruh. In our period, my venerated teacher, Shaykh al-Islam, Shabbir Ahmad Usmani has presented this issue admirably in a small tract. Here, he has explained its reality to the measure it is possible for a common person to understand and an educated one to find sufficient enough to avoid doubts and difficulties.

An important note

At this juncture, Imam al-Baghawi has carried a detail report from Sayyidna 'Abdullah ibn 'Abbas as follows: This verse was revealed in Makkah al-Mukarramah. It happened at a time when the Quraysh chiefs of Makkah got together and talked about the problem that Muhammad was born amongst us and grew up into a young man. No one ever doubted his trustworthiness, honesty and truthfulness, and he was never accused by anyone of having told a lie. Yet, despite all that,

we just do not understand the claim of being a prophet he was now making. Therefore, let us do something about it, like sending our delegation to the Jewish scholars of Madīnah and get a learned opinion about him from them. So, a delegation from the Quraysh met the Jewish scholars in Madīnah. They advised: 'we tell you three things. Ask him about these three. If he answers all three, he is no prophet. Similarly, if he does not answer any of the three, he is still no prophet. And if he answers two, not answering the third, be certain that he is the prophet.'* They proposed three questions: (1) Ask him about those in the past who had sought refuge in a cave to stay safe from shirk - because, their account is unique. (2) Ask him about the person who had traveled through the East and West of the Earth and what had happened to him. (3) Ask him about the $R\overline{u}h$ (soul, spirit).

The delegation returned and posed those three questions before the Holy Prophet 🎉. He said, 'I shall give you the answer to these tomorrow'- but, he did not say, "Insha'Allah" with it. The outcome was that the channel of Wahy (revelation) remained discontinued for a few days. There are various reports that put the number of days from twelve, fifteen to forty during which it stood stopped. The Quraysh of Makkah had their opportunity to hurl taunts - 'we were promised an answer tomorrow, now so many days have passed and we have no answer!' This caused the Holy Prophet too to become anxious. Then وَلاَ تَقُوْلُنَّ لِشَاىُءٍ إِنِّي فَاعِلَّ ذٰلِكَ غَداً إِلَّا أَن يَّشَآءَ اللَّهُ came angel Jibra'il with the verse: (And never say about anything: "I will do that tomorrow," without [saying]: "Insha'Allah": [If Allah wills].' - al-Kahf, 18: 23,24) and, after that, he recited the verse about the Ruh mentioned above. Then came the revelation of the verses relating to the people of Kahf, and the event of Dhul-Qarnain who had traveled from the East to the West which is to appear in Surah al-Kahf. A detailed answer has been given there by narrating the story of the people of Kahf and Dhul-Qarnain while the question concerned with the reality of the Ruh was not answered (which made the sign of the veracity of prophecy given by the Jews manifest). Tirmidhi has also described this event briefly. (Mazhari)

Investigative observations on the reality of Ruh (soul, spirit) and

^{*.} This detail is in accordance with Ma'alim al-Tanzīl, p. 134, v. 4 - Muḥammad Taqi Usmanī.

Nafs (self) have appeared earlier in this volume under verse 29 of Sūrah al-Ḥijr: نَفَخُتُ فِيهُ مِن رُوُحِي (I have blown into him of my spirit - 15:29). Presented with reference to Tafsīr Mazharī, it makes the kinds of Rūḥ along with the reality of each sufficiently clear.

(And if We so will, We will وَلَئِنْ شِئْنَا لَنَذُهَبَنَّ 36 (And if We so will, We will surely take away...). It will be recollected that, in the previous verse (85), by giving an answer to the question about $R\overline{u}h$ as true to the measure of its necessity, the underlying effort to find out the reality of the Ruh was blocked and it was declared that man's knowledge, no matter how much, still remains relatively insignificant in terms of the great span of the ultimate reality of things. Therefore, getting entangled with unnecessary debates and investigations is a waste of precious time. The present verse: -And if We so will) indicates that the sum total of whatever knowl) وَكَئِنُ شِئِنَا edge human beings have been given, even that is no private property of theirs. Allah Ta'ala can, if He so wills, take away that too. Therefore, they should be grateful to Allah for whatever of knowledge they have and stay away from wasting time in redundant and unnecessary investigations - specially when pure investigation is just not the objective, instead, testing others or belittling them is. If one did that, it is not out of the realm of possibility that this crookedness may result in all that one has in the name of knowledge taken away in toto. The address in this verse is, though, to the Holy Prophet E, but the purpose is to really make his followers listen and get the message - when even the knowledge of the Rasul of Allah is not within his exclusive power and control, not much can be said about others!

The subject in verse 88: أَوُلُ لَينِ احْتَمَعْتِ الْإِنْسُ وَالْحِنْ (If all humans and jinns join together...) appears in some other verses of the Holy Qur'an as well. Challenging the humankind, it has been said there: If you do not take the Qur'an to be the word of Allah, rather take it to be a word of man, then, you too are human, why would you not come up with its like? Along with that challenge, it was also said in this verse: Not the humankind alone, you are welcome to join up with jinns, but you, all combined together, will still be unable to come out with one Sūrah - even one verse - like that of the Qur'an.

The repetition of this subject at this place may possibly be to show the futility of what the deniers of the message were trying to do. Here they were trying to test the veracity of the mission of a prophet of Allah by asking all sorts of questions, the one about the Rūḥ being one of them. Being an exercise in futility, why would they go about digging into unnecessary issues only to determine whether or not the prophet sent to them was true? Why they would not look into the Qur'an as it is? It leaves no room for doubt in the veracity of the Holy Prophet as prophet and messenger of Allah. The reason is simple. When the humans and jinns of the whole world are incapable of producing the least like of it, what doubt could there be in that it is but the Divine Word. And once it is proved so manifestly that the Holy Qur'an is Divine Word, hardly any doubt remains in that the Holy Prophet was a true prophet and messenger of Allah.

The last verse: وَلَقَدُ صَرُّفُنَا (And surely We have explained - 89) tells us that the Holy Qur'an stands out as a miracle so clear that it leaves no room for any question and doubt. Still, what is happening is that people do not thank Allah for His blessings, do not even recognize the real worth of the blessing of the Qur'an and keep wandering around in error.

Verses 90 - 95

And they said, 'We shall never believe in you unless you

make a spring gush forth for us from the earth. [90] Or you have a garden of date-palms and grapes, then you bring forth rivers from their midst in abundance. [91] Or you cause the sky to fall upon us in pieces, as you claimed, or you bring Allah and angels before us face to face. [92] Or you have a house made of gold; or you ascend to the sky, and we will not believe in your ascension unless you send down to us a book we may read. Say, "I proclaim the Purity of my Lord. I am nothing but human, a messenger." [93]

And nothing prevented people from believing, when guidance came to them, except that they said, "Has Allah sent a man as a messenger?" [94]

Say, "Were there angels (living) on the earth, walking about in peace, We would have certainly sent down an angel from the heavens as a messenger." [95]

Commentary

A prophetic answer to hostile questions sounding absurd

The questions asked and the demands made in these verses from the Holy Prophet were virtually conditions on the fulfillment of which his antagonists were supposed to believe. These conditions are such that anyone who hears them would find them nothing but some sort of weird mockery and certainly a very absurd excuse for not believing. While having to respond to such questions, one becomes naturally angry and retorts in the same manner. But, the answer that Allah Taʿalā taught His to give against their impertinent questions reported in this verse is something significant for everyone. Particularly so, for the leaders and reformers of the Muslim community who would do well to always remember it making it a regular feature of their work among people. Is it not that, in answer to all that, nothing was said about their lack of sense, nor mention was made of their hostile mischief, nor there was any verbal duel fought against them? Instead, the truth of the matter was made clear to them in very simple words. In effect, they were told: Perhaps, you think that a person who comes as a messenger of Allah should also be the possessor of all Divine powers and should be able to control everything. This is a mistaken notion. The duty of a messenger of Allah is only to convey the Divine message. It is a different matter that Allah would also send many miracles to prove the veracity of the mission of His apostles, prophets and messengers. But, that takes

place exclusively with the power and under the control of Allah Taʻalā. A $ras\overline{u}l$ (messenger) is not given Divine powers. He is a human being and is never outside the framework of human power - except that Allah Taʻalā alone were to manifest His great subduing power to help him.

Only a human being can be a messenger of Allah: Angels cannot be sent as messengers to human beings

Common disbelievers and polytheists thought that 'bashar,' that is, a man, could not be the messenger of Allah because he is someone accustomed to all human compulsions like them. When so, what edge did he have over them to make them call him the messenger of Allah and take him as their leader claiming that they follow him? This assumption of theirs has been answered in the Holy Qur'an, at several places in various ways. The outcome of the answer given here in this verse: مَا مَنْعَ النَّاس (And nothing prevented people - 94) is that a messenger of Allah sent to a set of people has to be from their genus or race. If these people are human, the messenger should be human because mutual congruity does not exist between one genus and the other - and without congruity, guidance and grooming bring no benefit. Had some angel been sent to men as their messenger, he would have known no hunger, or thirst, or sexual desires, nor would he have felt the effect of chill and heat, nor fatigue after hard work. He would have, then, expected human beings to act like him without having ever realized their weaknesses and limitations. Similarly, when human beings knew that he was an angel, after all, they would have come to the conclusion that they just did not have the ability to do what he did. Who would have, then, followed him? Following is the fruit of correction, reform and right guidance. This benefit can be hoped for only when the messenger of Allah is from the genus of men. He should be an embodiment of human emotions and physical desires while, at the same time, he should also have an angelic majesty that could serve as a liaison - intermediary communicator - between human beings and angels, receiving revelation from angels bringing it and communicating it to his fellow human beings.

This submission also removes the doubt that arises by thinking: when human beings cannot derive benefit from the angel, how would a messenger - despite being human - derive the benefit of revelation from them?

As for the doubt - when the compatibility of genus is a condition between a messenger and his people, how was the Holy Prophet smade a messenger of the *jinns* for they are not from the same genus as men? - it can be answered by saying that the messenger is not simply a human being, instead, he also has an angelic majesty about him because of which *jinns* too could also be congruous to him.

In the last verse (95), it was said that they, despite being human, cannot demand that their messenger should be an angel. This demand was unreasonable. Yes, if angels had been living on the Earth and there was the need to send a messenger to them, then, indeed, an angel would have been sent as a messenger. It will be noted that the attribute of angels living on the Earth has been described here in the words: يَمُشُونُ (walking about in peace). This tells us that the need to send an angel deputed as a messenger to other angels would have come up only at a time when the angels of the Earth could not themselves go to the heavens rather remained living on the Earth alone. Otherwise, had they themselves possessed the power to go to the heavens, there would have remained just no need to send a messenger to the Earth.

Verses 96 - 100

قُلُ كَفَى بِاللَّهِ شَهِيدًا اللَّهُ فَهُوالْمُهُتَدِ وَمَنُ يُّضُلِلُ فَلَنُ بِعِبَادِهِ خَبِيرًا الْمَهُمُ اَولِيآءَ وَمَنُ يُّضُلِلُ فَلَنُ تَجِدَ لَهُمُ اَولِيآءَ مِنُ دُونِهِ وَمَنُ يَهُدِ اللَّهُ فَهُوالْمُهُتَدِ وَمَنُ يُضُلِلُ فَلَنُ تَجِدَ لَهُمُ اَولِيآءَ مِنُ دُونِهِ وَمَنَ يَهُدِ اللَّهُ عَلَي وَجُوهِهِمُ عَمْيًا وَبُكُمًا مِن دُونِهِ وَمَعُومُ عَمْيًا وَبُكُمًا وَصُمَّا مَاوْنِهُمُ جَهَنَّمُ حَهَنَّمُ حَكَلَّمَا حَبَتُ زِدُنْهُمُ سَعِيرًا ﴿١٩٠﴾ ذٰلِكَ جَزَآؤُهُمُ بِانَّهُمُ كَفَرُوا بِالْمِننَا وَقَالُوآءَ إِذَا كُنَّا عِظَامًا وَرُفَاتًاءَ إِنَّا كَمَبُوثُونَ خَلَقًا جَدِيدًا ﴿١٩٠﴾ اَولَمُ يَرَوا اَنَّ اللّهَ الَّذِي حَلَقَ السَّمُواتِ وَالْاَرْضَ قَادِرٌ عَلَى اَن يَحْلُق مِثلَهُمُ وَجَعَلَ لَهُمُ اَجَلاً لاَّرَيُبَ فِيهِ وَالْكَوْنَ خَزَابِنَ رَحُمَةِ وَالْكَوْنَ خَزَابِنَ رَحُمَةِ وَالْكَالُونَ اللَّهُ اللَّهِ مُلُكُونَ خَزَابِنَ رَحُمَة وَابَى اللَّهُ الْإِنْسَانُ قَتُورًا ﴿١٠٠ فَيُهُ وَالْمُونَ اللَّهُ اللَّهِ مُن اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ مَن عَلَى الطَّلِمُونَ اللَّا كُفُورًا ﴿١٩٠ قُلُ لُو انْتُمُ تَمُلِكُونَ خَزَابِنَ رَحُمَة وَالْمُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ مَلَا اللَّهُ اللَّهُ

Say, "Allah is sufficient as witness between me and you. Surely, about His servants, He is All-Aware, All-Watchful." [96]

And the one whom Allah guides is the guided one. And whom He lets go astray, you will never find for them any helpers other than Him. And We shall gather them on the Day of Judgment their faces down - blind, dumb and deaf. Their abode is the Fire. Whenever it calms down, We increase the flames for them. [97]

That is their punishment, because they rejected Our signs and said, "What! Once we are reduced to bones and dust, is it, then, that we shall be raised, created anew?" [98]

Have they not seen that Allah who has created the heavens and the earth has the power to create them as they were? And (for this) He has appointed a time in which there is no doubt. Still, the wrongdoers refused to do anything but reject. [99]

Say, "If you were to own the treasures of my Lord's blessing, even then you would certainly hold them back, lest it should be spent. And man is so niggardly. [100]

Commentary

The truth of the matter about what has been said in the last verse (100) is that the treasures of the mercy of Allah are limit-less and end-less. They are never going to end, but man is by nature narrow-minded, short on courage. When it comes to giving, he is not motivated enough to share what he has with others.

Commentators generally take the expression 'the treasures of the Lord's blessing or mercy' to mean the treasures of wealth. This has its link with previous verses (90,91) where the disbelievers of Makkah had demanded that the Holy Prophet should - if he was really a prophet in truth - make rivers flow in the barren desert of Makkah and transform it into lush green farms, like the land of Syria. The answer to this was given right there (93), saying in effect: This is as if you have taken me to be nothing short of God whose authority you want me to exercise. As for me, I am only a messenger of Allah, not Allah. I cannot do what I will. If we see this verse in this context, it would mean: If you are asking me to turn this desert land of Makkah into a green land to test my verac-

ity as prophet and messenger, then, the miracle of the eloquence of the Qur'ān is sufficient to prove that. There is no need for any other demands. And if this is to meet the needs of your country and people, remember that, even if you are given everything you demand for the land of Makkah, and all sorts of treasures with it, it would not result in the prosperity of the masses of your country. In fact, human nature will take its course and whoever gets hold of these treasures will sit on them like legendary serpents. Tell them to spend it on the people and you will see them all consumed by the fear that it will go out of their hands. In a situation like this, if a few rich men of Makkah get to be richer and opulent, what are the masses of people going to get out of it? Most commentators have declared this to be the sense of the verse.

My master and mentor, Hadrat Thanavi, in his Bayan al-Qur'an, has interpreted 'my Lord's mercy' as the station of prophet-hood and messenger-ship, and 'the treasures of mercy' as the varied perfection of prophet-hood. Given this Tafsir, this verse will be linked with previous verses by saying: The outcome of all those absurd demands you are making against my status as a prophet and messenger of Allah is that you just do not want to believe in it. Do you, then, want that the function of prophet-hood should be entrusted in your hands, so that you can make anyone a prophet at your sweet will? If such a wish were to be granted, the consequence would be that you would never give prophet-hood or messenger-ship to anyone, sitting over it like misers. After having given this explanation, he has added that this Tafsir is something that comes as one of the many divine gifts. It fits the occasion. Interpreting prophet-hood in this setting with mercy would be similar to its interpretation in the verse of Surah az-Zukhruf. It was said: آهُمُ يَقُسِمُوُنَ رَحُمَةَ رَبِّك (Do they distribute the mercy of your Lord? - 43:32). Here, 'rahmah' (mercy) means nothing but 'nubuwwah' (prophet-hood) - and there is a consensus on it. Allah knows best.

Verses 101 - 109

وَلَقَدُ اتَّيُنَا مُوسَىٰ تِسُعَ ايْتٍ بَيِّنْتٍ فَسُكُلُ بَنِي ۖ اِسُرَآءِ يُلَ إِذُ جَآءَ هُمُ فَقَالَ لَهُ وُ اللَّهِ عُولُ إِنِّي لَاظُنُّكَ يَمُوسَى مَسُحُورًا ﴿١٠١﴾ قَالَ لَقَدُ

عَلِمُتَ مَآ اَنُزَلَ هَنَّوُلَا عِلاَّ رَبُّ السَّمُواتِ وَالْاَرُضِ بَصَآبِرَ ۚ وَ اِنِّى لَا طَنْتُكَ يَفِرُعُونُ مَشْبُورًا ﴿٢٠٠﴾ فَارَادَانُ يَسْتَفِزَهُمُ مِّنَ الْاَرُضِ فَاغْرَقُنَهُ وَمَنُ مُعَهُ حَمِيعًا ﴿٢٠١﴾ وَقُلْنَا مِنُ المَعْدِهِ لِبَنِي ٓ اِسُرَآءِيلَ اسْكُنُوا السُكُنُوا السُكُنُوا السَكُنُوا اللَّرُضَ فَإِذَا حَآءَ وَعُدُ الْاحِرةِ حِئْنَا بِكُمُ لَفِيفًا ﴿١٠٨﴾ وَبِالْحَقِ ّ انْزَلُنهُ وَبِالْحَقِ ّ انْزَلُنهُ وَعُدُ الْاحِرةِ حَنْنَا بِكُمُ لَفِيفًا ﴿١٠٨﴾ وَبُالْحَقِ ّ انْزَلُنهُ وَعُدُ الْاحِرةِ حَنْنَا بِكُمُ لَفِيفًا ﴿١٠٨﴾ وَعُرُانًا فَرَقُنهُ وَبِالْحَقِ قَرُانًا فَرَقُنهُ وَبِالْحَقِ قَرُانًا فَرَقُنهُ وَبُولُونَ عَلَى مُكُثُ وَنَزَلْنَهُ تَنْزِيلاً ﴿١٠٨﴾ قُلُ امِنُوا بِهَ اولا لَعَلَمُ مِنُ قَبُلِهُ إِذَا يُتلَى عَلَيْهِمُ يَحِرُّونَ لِهَ اللهِ لَلْمَوْلُونَ سُبُحْنَ رَبِّنَا إِنَّ كَانَ وَعُدُ رَبِنَا لِلْاَذُقَانِ سُحَدًا ﴿١٨﴾ وَيَعُرُونَ لِلْاَذُقَانِ آيُنكُونَ وَيَرِيُدُهُمُ خُشُوعًا لَا اللهِ اللهِ اللهُ عَلَيْهُمُ عَلَيْهُمُ خُولُونَ وَيَرِيُدُونَ وَيَرِيُدُهُمُ خُشُوعًا لَاللهِ اللهُ اللهُ

And surely we gave Musa nine clear signs. So, ask the children of Isra'il, when he came to them, the Pharaoh said to him, "I am afraid, O Musa, you are under the spell of magic." [101] He said, "You know well that these (signs) are sent down by none but by the Lord of the heavens and the earth as eye-openers. And, I am afraid O Pharaoh, you are going to be destroyed." [102]

Then he (the Pharaoh) tried to harass him out of the land, so We drowned him and those with him altogether, [103] and thereafter We said to the children of Isrā'īl, "Live in the land. So, when the appointed time of the Hereafter will come, We shall bring you all joined together." [104]

And with truth We have sent it down and with truth it descended. And We did not send you but as a bearer of good tidings and as a warner. [105]

And We have divided the Qur'an in portions, so that you may recite it to the people gradually, and We have revealed it little by little. [106]

Say, "Believe it or do not believe it; when it is recited to those who were given knowledge before it, they fall down on their faces in prostration [107] and say, "Pure is our Lord. Certainly, the word of our Lord is sure to be done." [108] And they fall down on their faces weeping and it increases them in the humbleness of heart. [109]

Commentary

The first verse (101): "

"" mentions the bestowal of nine clear signs on Sayyidna Musa "" The word: "

"" ayah carries the meaning of miracle or sign as well as that of the "" ayat or verses of the Qur'an, that is, the divine injunctions. At this place, the probability of both meanings exists. Therefore, a number of commentators have taken the word 'ayat' to mean miracles - and the number nine does not make it necessary that they will not be more than nine - but, at this place, the figure 'nine' has been mentioned on the basis of some particular importance it has. Sayyidna 'Abdullah ibn 'Abbas " has enumerated these miracles as follows:

- 1. The staff of Sayyidna Musa which turned into a huge snake.
- 2. The hand that emitted light when placed under and taken out of the armpit.
- 3. The removal of stammering from his tongue.
- 4. The splitting of the water barrier in two sections to give safe passage to the Banī Isrā'īl to cross it.
- 5. The sending of the punishment through locusts in unusual circumstances.
- 6. The sending of the storm.
- 7. The clothes on their bodies were infested with countless lice they had no escape from.
- 8. The punishment of frogs was released on them when frogs would appear in everything they ate or drank.
- 9. The punishment of blood was sent that filled every utensil and mingled with whatever they ate or drank.

And a Ṣaḥiḥ Ḥadith tells us that the word ' $\overline{a}y\overline{a}t'$ used here means divine injunctions. This Ḥadith has been reported in Abū Dāwūd, an-Nasā'i, Tirmidhi and Ibn Mājah on the authority of Sayyidnā Safwān

ibn al-'Aththal . He says that a Jew asked one of his friends to take him to 'that' prophet. The friend said, 'do not call him a prophet. If he finds out that we too call him a prophet, he will have four eyes on him (that is, he will have an opportunity to wallow in his pride and glee).' Then they came to the Holy Prophet and asked him as to what were the nine clear signs given to Sayyidna Musa. He said:

- 1. Do not ascribe any partners to Allah.
- 2. Do not steal.
- 3. Do not fornicate.
- 4. Do not unjustly take the life of the one whose killing has been forbidden by Allah.
- 5. Do not falsely impute anyone innocent with charges liable to the sentence of death or any other punishment.
- 6. Do not practice magic.
- 7. Do not devour interest.
- 8. Do not level a false accusation of fornication on a chaste woman.
- 9. Do not desert the battlefield in Jihad for fear of being killed.

And he also said, 'O Jews, it has also been specially enjoined on you that you shall not contravene the particular injunctions of the observance of the day of Sabbath (Saturday) given to you.'

Hearing what the Holy Prophet is said, they both kissed his hands and feet and declared, 'We bear witness that you are the prophet of Allah.' He said, 'What is it, then, that stops you from following me?' They said that Sayyidnā Dāwūd is had prayed to his Lord for prophets to always keep appearing from among his progeny - 'and we are scared that the Jews will kill us if we started following you.'

Since this explanation of the verse stands proved on the authority of Ṣaḥīḥ Ḥadīth, therefore, this is what many commentators have preferred to go by.

About the last sentence: يَكُونَ وَيَزِيدُهُمْ خُشُوعًا (And they fall down on their faces weeping and it increases them in the humbleness of heart -109), it

appears in Taſsir Mazhari that being in tears while reciting the Qur'an stands as a highly recommended and reward worthy act (mustaḥabb). Sayyidnā Abū Hurairah in narrates that the Holy Prophet is said, 'a person who wept in fear of Allah shall not go to Hell until milk is returned to the udder after having been milked. (It means, as it is not possible to put milk once milked back into the udder, very similarly, it is also not possible that a person who weeps in fear of Allah were to go to Hell). And says another report, 'Allah Taʿalā has forbidden the fire of Hell on two eyes - the eye that weeps in fear of Allah, and the eye that stays awake at nights guarding the Islamic frontiers. (Baihaqi, and Ḥākim). And Sayyidnā Naḍr ibn Saʿd is reports that the Holy Prophet is said, 'a people, among whom there is someone who weeps in fear of Allah, will be delivered from the fire of Hell because of him.' (Rūḥ al- Maʿānī from Tirmidhī)

The reason for the big trouble Muslims are in today is no other but that there are very few left among them who would weep fearing Allah. After reporting the Aḥādith showing the merits of weeping in fear of Allah at this point, the author of Rūḥ al-Ma'āni says: وَيَبغَى انْ يَكُونْ ذَلْكُ حَالَ (And that is the state the 'Ulamā' should be in) - because, Ibn Jarīr, Ibn al-Mundhir and others have quoted the following saying of 'Abd al-A'lā Taimi:

'A person who has received the kind of knowledge that does not make him cry [because of having realized the reality of things] should be enough to make you understand that he has not been given the knowledge that brings benefits.'

Verses 110 - 111

قُلِ ادْعُوا اللَّهَ أوِادْعُوا الرَّحُمٰنَ ﴿ آيَّامًّا تَدْعُوا فَلَهُ الْاَسُمَآءُ الْحُسُنٰى ۚ وَلاَ تَحْهَرُ بِصَلاَتِكَ وَلاَتُحَافِتُ بِهَا وَابْتَغِ بَيْنَ ذَلِكَ سَبِيلاً ﴿١١٠﴾ وَلَا تَحُهَرُ بِصَلاَتِكَ وَلاَتُحَافِتُ بِهَا وَابْتَغِ بَيْنَ ذَلِكَ سَبِيلاً ﴿١١٠﴾ وَقُلِ الْحَمُدُ لِلَّهِ الَّذِي لَمُ يَتَّخِذُ وَلَدًا وَلَمْ يَكُنُ لَهُ الشَرِيُكُ فِي الْمُلُكِ وَلَمْ يَكُنُ لَهُ الشَرِيُكُ فِي الْمُلُكِ وَلَمْ يَكُنُ لَهُ اللهِ الذَّلِ وَكَبِّرُهُ تَكْبِيرًا ﴿١١٠﴾

Say, "Call (Him by the name of) Allah or Ar-Raḥmān, in whichever way you call, His are the best names." And do

not be (too) loud in your Salah nor be (too) low in it, and seek a way in between. [110]

And say, "Praise belongs to Allah who has neither taken to Him a son, nor is there any partner to Him in His kingdom, nor is anyone (needed) to protect Him because of (any) weakness. And proclaim His greatness, an open proclamation. [111]

Commentary

These are the last verses of Sūrah Banī Isra'īl (Al-'Isra'). The Sūrah began with a declaration of the purity and oneness of Allah Taʿalā, and this is how it is being concluded. The revelation of these verses was based on some events. The first one came to pass on a certain day when the Holy Prophet , during his supplication, said 'Yā Allah' and 'Yā Raḥmān.' The Mushriks thought that he was calling two Gods. They said, 'he forbids us to call anyone else other than the One while he himself calls two deities.' The answer to this comment was given in the first part of the verse by saying that the most exalted Allah does not have a mere two names. He has many more names and all of them are the best of names. Call Him by any of these and it means the One and Only Allah. So, it was made clear that their apprehensions on that count were wrong.

As for the second incident, when the Holy Prophet would recite the Qur'an loudly during Salah, the Mushriks made fun of him and passed audacious remarks berating the Qur'an, archangel Jibra'il, even Allah Ta'ala. In response to that, the last part of this very verse was revealed where he has been advised to take to a middle course between the loud and the low, as the average voice took care of the functional necessity. And as for the opportunity the Mushriks had to cause pain to them over the loud pitch of the voice, they would be relieved of that too.

The third problem was that the Jews and Christians proposed children for Allah Taʻala and the pagan Arabs said that idols were partners of Allah. The Sabians and the Magians used to hold that not being particularly close to Allah amounted to a personal loss of worth and honor. In answer to these three religious groups, the last verse was revealed where their three notions have been refuted.

It will be noted that, in this world, the one from whom strength and

support is received is sometimes younger than one, like children, and sometimes an equal, like a partner, and sometimes older than one, like a supporter and helper. Here, in this verse, Allah Taʿalā has refuted all three in the same order.

Ruling

The etiquette of recitation in Ṣalāh as given in verse 110 is that it should not be in a voice raised very high, nor should it be in a voice so lowered that those standing behind in the congregation cannot hear it. This injunction, as obvious, is particular with prayers wherein the recitation is voiced. As for the prayers of Zuhr and 'Aṣr, the recitation therein is totally unvoiced as proved from uninterrupted Sunnah.

In prayers with voiced recitation, included there are the Fard of Maghrib, 'Ishā' and Fajr, as well as the prayer of Tahajjud - as in a Ḥadīth which says: Once the Holy Prophet passed by Sayyidnā Abū Bakr and Sayyidnā 'Umar at the time of Tahajjud. Sayyidnā Abū Bakr was reciting in a lowered voice while Sayyidnā 'Umar was reciting in a loud voice. The Holy Prophet said to Sayyidnā Abū Bakr, 'why would you recite in such a lowered voice?' Sayyidnā Abū Bakr said, 'the One I wanted to talk to in secret, Him I have made to hear, because Allah Taʿalā hears every voice, even the lowest of the low.' The Holy Prophet said, 'recite somewhat loudly.' Then he said to Sayyidnā 'Umar, 'why do you recite in such a loud voice?' Sayyidnā 'Umar said, 'I recite loudly to wake up the drowsy, and satan.' He ordered him too, 'you should recite in a voice somewhat lowered.' (Tirmidhī as quoted Mazharī)

Problems and their solutions relating to the loud or lowered rendering of the recitation of the Qur'an in Ṣalāh, or on occasions other than it, have been already explained in Sūrah al-A'rāf (see commentary under Verse 55, Volume III). About the last verse beginning with: فُلِ الْحَمْدُ لِلَّهُ (say, 'alḥam-dulillāh: praise belongs to Allah'), the Ḥadīth says that this is the 'āyah' (verse) of "izzah' (power and glory). [The reference is to the efficacy of the verse in seeking the help of Allah to overcome weaknesses and difficulties]. (Reported by Aḥmad and at-Ṭabarānī on the authority of Sayyidnā Muʿadh al-Juhaniyy as in Mazharī) This verse also provides an essential guidance. The drive of the meaning is that it does not matter how much one devotes to the worship of Allah, one is still obligated to take his or her deed

to be deficient as compared with His due right and confess to the likely shortfall in performing it. (Mazharī)

And Sayyidna Anas has said that a child from the tribe of Bani 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib, when able to say meaningful words, was taught to recite this verse by the Holy Prophet. Then he recited the verse:

And say, "Praise belongs to Allah who has neither taken to Him a son, nor is there any partner to Him in His kingdom, nor is anyone [needed] to protect Him because of [any] weakness. And proclaim His greatness, an open proclamation" - 111). (Mazhari)

And Sayyidnā Abū Hurairah has said that once he went out with the Holy Prophet has, in a manner that his hand was in Holy Prophet's hands. He passed by a person who was disheveled and worried. He asked, 'what brought you to this condition?' That person said, 'sickness and poverty have done this to me.' He said, 'I am going to tell you a few words. If you recite these, your sickness and poverty will go away. The words were:

I place my trust in the Ever Living who is not to die. Praise belongs to Allah who has neither taken to Him a son, nor is there any partner to Him in His kingdom, nor is anyone (needed) to protect Him because of (any) weakness. And proclaim His greatness, an open proclamation. (17:111)

After the passage of some time, when he went that way, he found his condition good and showed his pleasure about it. That person told him, 'since the time you taught me these words, I recite them punctually.' $(Ab\overline{u}\ Ya'l\overline{a}\ and\ Ibn\ al-Sunn\overline{i},\ as\ quoted\ by\ Mazhar\overline{i})$

The Tafsir of Surah Bani Isra'il ends here
With the help of Allah
After al-'Isha', Jumada I, 1390 Hijrah
Praised be Allah, from the beginning to the end.

A personal postscript by the author

... While writing these lines, this humble servant of Allah has completed full seventy five years of his age on 21 Sha'ban, 1390 Hijrah. Year seventy-six opens amidst diseases of different kinds including the natural weakness that sets in at this age. Then there is the mass of things to do and problems to resolve. Who can look forward to the task of writing beyond this point for it would be hoping against hope. But, when it comes to the service of the Qur'an things become different. When someone does something in the name of the Qur'an, no matter how insignificant, it becomes for a servant of Allah a matter of good fortune and honour. This thought led me to begin the Tafsir of Surah al-Kahf with the name of Allah. The idea was to take whatever it was possible to do during the years of life left as sufficient and good enough, because the purpose is not to finish the Qur'an, the purpose is to consume one's years and energy into the Quran. And Allah is the giver of ability and the helper of the effort made in His way.

(Abridged from the detailed note)

Surah Al-Kahf

(The Cave)

Surah al-Kahf is Makki. It has 110 verses and 12 sections

With the name of Allah, the Most-Merciful, the Very-Merciful

Verses 1 - 8

الْحَمُدُ لِلهِ الَّذِي َ اَنُولَ عَلَى عَبُدِهِ الْكِتْبَ وَلَمُ يَجُعَلُ لَّهُ عِوَجًا ﴿أَهُ وَيَبَشِرَ الْمُؤُمِنِينَ الَّذِينَ يَعُمَلُونَ وَيَهُ الْمُؤُمِنِينَ الَّذِينَ يَعُمَلُونَ الصلِّلِحْتِ اَنَّ لَهُمُ اَجُرًا حَسَنًا ﴿ إِنَّهُ مَّ كَثِينَ فِيهِ اَبَدًا ﴿ إِنَّ وَيُنَذِرالَّذِينَ وَاللَّهُ وَلَدًا ﴿ إِنَّ مَالَهُمُ بِهِ مِنُ عِلْمٍ وَلاَ لِلْآلِهِمُ لَا كَبُرَتُ وَالْمَا اللَّهُ وَلَدًا ﴿ إِنَّ مَالَهُمُ بِهِ مِنُ عِلْمٍ وَلاَ لِلْآلِهِمُ لَلهَ كَبُرَتُ كَلَمِ اللهُ وَلَدًا ﴿ إِنَّ مَالَهُمُ بِهِ مِن عِلْمٍ وَلاَ لِلْآلِهِمُ لَا كَبُرَتُ كَبُرَتُ كَلَمَةً تَحُرُجُ مِنُ افُواهِم اللهُ مَا لَهُ مُ اللهُ عَلَى اللهُ اللهُ عَلَى الْمُؤْمِنُوا بِهَذَا الْحَدِيثِ اسَفًا ﴿ إِنَّ اللهُ عَلَلْكَ بَاحِعٌ لَيْ اللهُ عَلَى الْاَرْضَ وَيُنَا لَهُ مَا عَلَيْهُمُ اللهُ مُ اللهُ مُ اللهُ مُ اللهُ مُ اللهُ مُ اللهُ مَا عَلَي الْاَرْضَ وَيُنَا اللهُ عَلَى الْمُؤْمِدُ اللهُ عَلَى الْمُؤَمِنُوا بِهَا الْمَالِكَ عَلَى الْاَرْضَ وَيُنَا اللهُ عَلَى الْمُؤَمِنُوا بِهَا لَا الْمُؤْمِدُ اللهُ عَلَى الْاَرْضَ وَيُنَا اللهُ عَلَى الْمُؤْمِدُ اللهُ عَلَى الْمُؤَمِدُ اللهُ عَلَى الْمُؤَمِدُ اللهُ عَلَى الْمُؤْمِدُ اللهُ عَلَى الْمَالِ مَا عَلَيْهَا صَعِيدًا حُرُزًا ﴿ اللهُ اللهُ عَلَى الْمُؤْمِدُ الْمُؤْمِدُ اللهُ اللهُ عَلَى الْمُؤْمِدُ اللهُ عَلَى الْمُؤْمِدُ اللهُ عَلَيْمُ اللهُ اللهُولِ اللهُ ا

Praise belongs to Allah who has sent down to His servant the Book and allowed no crookedness in it, [1] a straightforward Book to warn of a severe punishment from Him, and to give glad tidings to the believers who do righteous deeds that they will have a good reward (Paradise) [2] where they will dwell for ever, [3] and to warn those who have said that Allah has taken to Himself a son, [4] while they have no knowledge of it, nor had their fathers. Grave is the word that comes out of their mouths. They say nothing but a lie. [5]

So, perhaps you are going to kill yourself after them out of grief if they do not believe in this message. [6]

Surely, We have made what is on earth an adornment for it so that We test them as to who among them is better in deed. [7] And surely, We are going to turn what is thereon into a barren land. [8]

The properties and merits of Surah al-Kahf

According to a narration of Sayyidnā Abū al-Dardā' appearing in Muslim, Abū Dāwūd, Tirmidhī, al-Nasā'ī and the Musnad of Ahmad, one who has memorized the first ten verses of Sūrah al-Kahf will remain protected from the ill effects of Dajjāl (imposter). In another report in the same books and from the same authority, the same thing has been said about having memorized the last ten verses of Sūrah al-Kahf.

And it has been reported in the Musnad of Ahmad on the authority of Sayyidnā Sahl ibn Muʻādh that the Holy Prophet said, "One who recites the first and the last verses of Sūrah al-Kahf, for him there is light from his feet up to his head. And the one who were to recite the whole Sūrah, then, for him there is light from the earth up to the sky."

And it appears in some narratives that a person who recites $S\overline{u}$ rah al-Kahf on the day of Jumu'ah will have light from his feet up to the sky. This light will serve him well on the day of Qiyamah, and every sin committed by him between the past and the present Jumu'ah will be forgiven." (Imam Ibn Kathir has declared this report to be $mawq\overline{u}f$ (a Hadith the narration of which stops at a Ṣaḥābi and does not ascend to the Holy Prophet $\ref{shortholder}$).

And Ḥāfiẓ Zya' al-Maqdisi, in his book Al-Mukhtārah, has reported on the authority of Sayyidnā 'Alī that the Holy Prophet said, "One who recites Sūrah al-Kahf on the day of Jumu'ah will remain protected from every fitnah. And if Dajjāl appears, he will stay safe against the trying challenges released by him as well." (All these narrative reports have been taken from Tafsīr ibn Kathīr)

According to a report from Dailami on the authority of Sayyidna Anas appearing in Ruh al-Ma'ani, the Holy Prophet said, "The entire Surah al-Kahf was revealed at one time and seventy thousand angels came with it" - which shows its majesty.

The cause of revelation

Imam ibn Jarir al-Ṭabari has reported from Sayyidna 'Abdullah ibn

'Abbas that the Quraysh of Makkah (disturbed by the rising influence of the Holy Prophet as a prophet) sent two of their men, Naḍr ibn Ḥarith and 'Uqbah ibn Abī Mu'ayt, to the Jewish scholars of Madīnah. Their mission was to find out what they said about him as they were learned in past scriptures of the Torah and Injīl. The Jewish scholars told them, "put three questions before him. If he answers these correctly,* you should know that he is a prophet and messenger of Allah, and if he fails to do that, you should know that he is a pretender and not a messenger. Firstly, ask him about the young men who had left their city in the distant past and what had happened to them, for this is a unique event. Secondly, ask him about the person who had traveled through the East and West of the Earth and what had happened to him. Thirdly, ask him about the Rūh (soul, spirit) as to what it was?"

The two Quraysh emissaries returned to Makkah al-Mukarramah, informed their tribesmen that they had come back with a decisive plan of action and told them all about their encounter with the Jewish scholars of Madīnah. Then, these people took these questions to the Holy Prophet. He heard the questions and said that he will answer them tomorrow. But, he forgot to say 'insha'Allah' at that time. These people went back and the Holy Prophet kept waiting for the Divine revelation in the hope that he will be told about answers to these questions through wahy. But, no wahy came until the next day as promised. In fact, fifteen days went by and things stood as they were, neither did Sayyidnā Jibra'īl come nor did the revelation. The Quraysh of Makkah started throwing taunts which caused real pain to the Holy Prophet ...

After fifteen days, came angel Jibra'il with Surah al-Kahf (wherein the delay caused has also been explained by saying that one should say 'insha'Allah' when promising to do something in the future. Since, this was not done in the event concerned, therefore, revelation was delayed as a measure of reminder. In this Surah, verses relating to this matter such as: وَلاَ تَقُولُنَّ لِشَاىءُ إِلَى فَاعِلٌ ذَٰلِكَ عَدًا لِلاَّ اَن يُشَاءَ اللهُ (18:23,24) will be appearing later). Also related in this Surah there was the event about the young

^{*} That is, he gives the answer he should give (and his correct answer to the question about 'Ruḥ' will be that Allah Ta'ala knows its reality best). So, this report which appears in Tafsir al-Ṭabari, p. 191, v. 15 is not contrary to the report which has appeared on pages 544-47 of this volume under the commentary on verse 85 of Surah Bani Isra'il - Muḥammad Taqi Usmani.

men known as Aṣḥāb al-Kahf or the People of the Cave, and the event concerning the travel of Dhul-Qarnain from the East to the West. Also included therein was the answer to the question asked about 'Rūḥ' (Qurṭubī and Maẓharī with reference to Ibn Jarīr). But, answering the question about Rūḥ (soul, spirit) briefly was as dictated by wisdom. This was taken up separately at the end of Sūrah Banī Israʾil (17:85) and this is the reason why Sūrah al-Kahf has been placed after Sūrah Banī Israʾil [al-Israʾi] - as mentioned by Al-Suyuṭī.

Commentary

and allowed no crooked) وَلَمُ يَحُعَلَ لَّهُ عِوجًا قَيِّمًا :The word عِوَج ('iwaj) in وَلَمُ يَحُعَلَ لَّهُ ness in it - 1) means crookedness of any kind, or inclination towards one side or deviation from the norms of rectitude. The Holy Qur'an remains pure and free of all that in terms of the perfection of its words and meanings. It simply admits of no distortion anywhere, either in eloquence or in knowledge and wisdom. The sense which has been conveyed in a negative or eliminative form through: وَلَمْ يَحْعَلْ لَّهُ عِوَجًا (and allowed no crookedness in it) has been fortified positively through the word: قَيَّمًا $(qayyim\overline{a})$ which follows immediately for emphasis. The reason is that this word carries the sense of: مُستَقِيمًا (mustaqima and that which is: مستقيم (mustaqim: straightforward, straight, upright) will not have the least crookedness or tilt towards any side. However, قَيمٌ (qayyim) can also have another sense, that of caretaker, custodian and protector. Given this probability, the sense of the expression would be that the Qur'an, while perfect in itself as free from all kinds of excess, deficiency and crookedness, keeps others upright, firm and unflinching and protects the interests and advantages of all servants of Allah. Thus the gist of the two expressions would be that the Holy Qur'an stands perfect in itself and has the ability to make men and women created by Allah become equally perfect. (Mazhari)

It was said in verse 7: إِنَّا حَعَلْنَا مَا عَلَى الْأَرْضِ زِيْنَةٌ لَّهَا (Surely, We have made what is on earth an adornment for it) with reference to all living forms, vegetation, mountains, minerals and everything else present on the earth. They are its embellishment. That there are snakes, scorpions, beasts and many harmful and fatal things may lead someone to doubt as to how can they be called 'an adornment for it.' This doubt is unfounded because everything in this world considered harmful, fatal or plain bad

may be so in a restricted sense but, in terms of the totality of creation, nothing is bad. Everything, no matter how bad, has been invested with many benefits by Allah Taʿalā on other counts. The medical use of poisonous and fatal life forms in the interest of human beings is an example. Therefore, things that are considered even bad are not that bad in terms of the function of this entire universe.

Verses 9 - 12

اَمُ حَسِبُتَ اَنَّ اَصُحْبَ الْكَهُفِ وَالرَّقِيْمِ كَانُوا مِنُ الْيَنَا عَجَبًا ﴿ ﴿ ﴾ اِذُ اوَى الْفِتْيَةُ اِلَى الْكَهُفِ فَقَالُوا رَبَّنَآ اتِنَا مِنُ لَّدُنُكَ رَحُمةً وَهَيِّئُ لَنَا مِنُ الْدُنُكُ رَحُمةً وَهَيِّئُ لَنَا مِنُ الْمُرِنَا رَشَدًا ﴿ . (﴾ فَضَرَبُنَا عَلَى الذَانِهِمُ فِي الْكَهُفِ سِنِينَ عَدَّدًا ﴿ اللهِ مُ فِي الْكَهُفِ سِنِينَ عَدَّدًا ﴿ اللهِ مُ اللهِ مُ لِنَعُلَمَ اَيُ الْحِزِينَ الْحَصْلَى لِمَا لَبِثُوا اَمَدًا ﴿ اللهِ مُ اللهِ اللهُ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهُ اللهِ اللهُ اللهُ اللهُ اللهِ اللهُ اللهُ اللهُ اللهُ اللهُ اللهُ اللهِ اللهُ اللهُ اللهُ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهُ اللهِ اللهِ اللهُ اللهِ اللهُ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهُ اللهِ اللهُ اللهُ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهُ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهُ الل

Do you think that the People of Kahf (the Cave) and Raqim (inscription*) were unusual out of Our signs? [9]

When the young men took refuge in the Cave and said, "Our Lord, bless us with mercy from Your own and provide us with guidance in our matters." [10] So, We veiled their hearing (putting them to sleep) in the Cave for a number of years. [11] Thereafter We raised them up, so that We know which of the two groups had best calculated the period they remained (sleeping). [12]

Lexical Explanation

Kahf is a large mountainous cave or cavern. If not large, it will be a ghār. The word: المرقرة (al-raqīm) literally denotes: المرقوم (al-marqūm) that is, something written. What does it mean at this place? The sayings of commentators differ about it. Daḥḥāk, Sudiyy and Ibn Jubayr following the authority of Sayyidnā 'Abdullāh ibn 'Abbās declare it to mean a written tablet on which the ruler of the time had inscribed the names of the People of Kahf and had it fixed on the entrance to the Cave. For this reason, the People of Kahf are also called the People of al-Raqīm (the inscribed ones). Qatādah, 'Aṭiyyah, 'Awfī and Mujāhid have said that Raqīm is the name of the valley adjoining the mountain in which the hol-

^{*} The word Raqim has been interpreted differently. Most of the commentators have preferred 'inscription' while others have taken it to be a mountain, a valley or a specific town.

low cavern of the People of Kahf was located. Some others have identified this mountain itself as the Raqim. 'Ikrimah رحمه الله تعالى says, "I have heard Sayyidnā ibn 'Abbās ప saying - I do not know whether Raqim is the name of some inscribed tablet or some town." Ka'b al-Aḥbār and Wahb ibn Munabbih report from Sayyidnā Ibn 'Abbās that Raqim is the name of a town near Ailah, that is, 'Aqabah, situated in the kingdom of the Romans.

Fityah (فَتَيَةٌ) in verse 10 is the plural of: فَتَى $fat\overline{a}$, which means a young man.

The expression: فَضَرَبُنَا عَلَى اَذَانِهِمُ (translated as so, We veiled their hearing [putting them to sleep]' - 11) literally means to plug ears to prevent someone from hearing. It is used to convey the sense of deep sleep. When sleeping, eyes close first but ears remain active. Sounds are heard. When sleep takes over completely, ears stop functioning. Later, when awake, ears resume their function first for sound startles the person sleeping who then comes out of his sleep.

Commentary

The story of the People of Kahf and Raqim

There are a few subjects of study in this story. First of all, it needs to be determined whether People of Kahf and People of Ragim are two names of the same group, or these are two separate groups. Though, no clarification of this appears in any Sahih Hadith, but Imam al-Bukhari, in his Ṣaḥiḥ, has given two separate chapter headings - Aṣḥāb al-Kahf and Ashab al-Ragim. Then, under Ashab al-Ragim, he mentions the well-known story of three persons being locked shut into a cave with no way out, which opened later through prayers, and which is there in details in all books of Hadith. From this innovative device of Imam al-Bukhari, it is gathered that, according to him, the People of Kahf are one group, and the appellation of the People of Raqim has been used for those three persons who had taken shelter in the cave at some earlier time. Then a huge rock from the mountain fell on the entrance to the cave and blocked it totally, making it impossible for them to come out. At that moment, it was with reference to whatever righteous deeds they each had done in life that the three of them supplicated before Allah: Our Lord, if we had done this thing for Your good pleasure, please open the passage for us. Following the prayer of the first person, the rock

moved a little and light started coming in. By the prayer of the second one, it moved a little more. Then, by the prayer of the third one, the passage opened up fully.

But, Hafiz ibn Hajar has made it clear in Sharh al-Bukhari that, in the light of Hadith reports, there is no clear-cut proof about the People of Ragim being the name for the three persons referred to above. What has happened here is that some narrators have added to the report of Sayyidna Nu'man ibn Bashir , a reporter of the incident of the cave. by saying that Sayyidna Nu'man ibn Bashir www while mentioning the story of the cave said, 'I heard the Holy Prophet s mentioning Raqim. He was relating the story of the three who got shut into the cave.' This addition appears in the report of al-Bazzar and al-Tabarani as quoted by Fath-al-Bari. But, to begin with, none of the reports from the usual narrators of this Hadith which are available in details in the six Sahihs and other books of Hadith have included this sentence of Sayyidna Nu'man ibn Bashir . Even the report of al-Bukhari itself does not have this sentence in it. Then, even within this sentence, it has not been made clear whether or not the Holy Prophet that called those three persons who got shut into the cave by the name of the People of Raqim. In fact, the words are: 'he was mentioning Ragim.' Those three were mentioned as a corollary to this statement. As for the difference in the sayings of the Sahabah, Tabi'in and commentators in general concerning the meaning of Ragim, it is by itself a proof that there was no Hadith reported from the Holy Prophet about the meaning of Ragim as determined by him. Otherwise, how was it possible that once the Holy Prophet himself determines the meaning of a word, the Sahabah, Tabi'in and other commentators opt for some other saying contrary to it? Therefore, Hafiz ibn Hajar, the commentator of al-Bukhari, denies that the People of Kahf and the People of Ragim are two separate groups. According to him, the correct position is that both these names apply to one single group. The mention of three persons getting shut into a cave could have been made with the mention of Raqim. It does not necessarily follow from it that these very three persons were 'the Aṣḥāb al-Raqīm' (the People of Raqim).

Ham a = 1 ibn Ham a also clarified at this place that the very context of the story of the People of Kahf as described by the Ram a tells us that

the People of Kahf and Raqim are but one group. This is why the majority of commentators and Ḥadith experts agree that they both are one and the same.

The second issue to be determined here is that of the details of the story. It has two parts. Part one is the spirit of the story, and the real objective. It provides an answer to the question asked by the Jews, and guidance and good counsel for Muslims as well. The second part deals with the historical and geographical aspects of the story. As for the delineation of the objective, it plays no role there, for instance: When and where did this event come to pass, who was the infidel king these people ran from and hid in the cave? What were his beliefs, what did he actually do to them because of which they were compelled to run and hide in the cave? How many were they? Exactly how long did they remain asleep? Are they still alive or are they dead?

The Holy Qur'an, under its wise principles and unique methodology, has not related any story in all its detail and order throughout the Qur'an (with the sole exception of the story of Sayyidna Yusuf (with the sole exception of the story of Sayyidna Yusuf (a) - a common method used in books of history. Instead, it has introduced only a part of each story that was appropriate to the occasion and was particularly related to guiding and teaching human beings. (The reason for excluding the story of Sayyidna Yūsuf from the parameter of this method appears in the Tafsīr of Sūrah Yūsuf included in Volume V, pages 24, 25)

The same method was used in relating the story of the People of Kahf. Here, the Qur'an has described its particular parts that were relevant to the real objective. No mention was made of the remaining parts that were purely historical or geographical. Of course, mention was made of the number of the People of Kahf. The questions regarding the period of time they remained asleep were certainly alluded to, even an indication was released towards the answer but, along with it, an instruction was also given that it was not appropriate to investigate and debate such issues. These should be entrusted with Allah Ta'ala. This is the reason why the Holy Prophet who was duty-bound to explain the meanings of the Holy Qur'an never related those parts of the story in any Ḥadīth. It is on the grounds of this Qur'anic methodology that great men among the Ṣaḥabah and Tabi'īn have declared the essential policy guideline in such matters by saying:

What Allah has left undetermined, you too leave it undetermined. (al-Itqan li-Suyuţi)

This approach and conduct of leading Sahabah and Tabi'in required that, in this Tafsir too, those parts of the story should be ignored as ignored by the Qur'an and Hadith. But, this is a time when historical and geographical breakthroughs are taken as great achievements. Therefore, later day scholars of Tafsir have described those parts as well in varying lengths. As far as Tafsir Ma'ariful-Qur'an is concerned, the parts of the story that have already been mentioned in the Qur'an will be covered under the explanation of its verses. The remaining historical and geographical parts of the story are being described here within the limits of their need. However, the truth of the matter is that, even after this description, the end result will remain the same - that is, it is impossible to arrive at a categorical decision in these matters. The reason is that writings in Islamic, and then Christian history, relating to this subject are so different, even contradictory, that one writer determines something in the light of his investigation, opinion and evidence while the other prefers something else.

Events relating to hiding in caves to protect faith have been many and widespread

One major reason for differences existing among historians lies in the great importance attached to monasticism by adherents to the faith brought by Sayyidnā Tsā . As a result, there were several instances spread around different regions and countries of the world where some people took shelter in caves to devote themselves to the worship of Allah Taālā living there for the rest of their lives. Now, where so ever some such event has occurred, it was not so far out for a historian to suspect it to be that of the People of Kahf.

People of Kahf: Place and Period

Tafsīr authority al-Qurṭubī of al-Andulus (Spain) has reported some events at this juncture in his Tafsīr. Some of these events, that belong to different cities, he had heard or seen himself. First of all, he says on the basis of a report from Þaḥḥāk that al-Raqīm is the name of a town in $R\overline{u}m$ (the Greco-Roman territory) where twenty-one men are lying in a

cave as if they are sleeping. Then, he reports from Tafsīr authority, Ibn 'Aṭiyyah that he had heard from many people that there was a cave in Shām (Syria) which had some dead bodies in it. Attendants said that they were the ones called the People of Kahf. And adjacent to the cave, there was the structure of a mosque and residential house called Raqīm. In addition to the dead bodies, the skeleton of a dead dog was also present there.

Then, al-Qurtubi writes about another event relating to al-Gharnatah (Granada) in al-Andulus (Spain), again reporting from Ibn 'Atiyyah who says, 'there is a cave in Lawshah (Lojah), a village outside al-Gharnatah. It has some dead bodies and along with these there is the skeleton of a dead dog as well. Most of the dead bodies have no flesh left reducing them to bare skeletons. However, some do have signs of flesh and skin still being there. Centuries have passed over this. But, nothing is known about them authentically. Some people say that they were the People of Kahf. Ibn 'Atiyyah further says, 'when I heard this news, I personally went there in the Hijrah year 504. On arrival there, I really found those bodies in the same state. Close by there is a mosque and a structure of the Roman period called Ragim. It seems to have been some palace from the remains of several walls still there. This thing is located in an unpopulated area with wild growth around. He also said, 'remains of an old city are found in the area characterized by higher altitudes in al-Gharnatah. It is Roman in style. The name of the city is said to be Raqyus. We have seen many graves and strange things in its ruins. From what has been said here it will be realized that al-Qurtubi who lived in Spain avoids calling anyone as the People of Kahf definitely, despite that he has described these events as reported. Then, there is the case of Ibn 'Atiyyah who, despite his personal observation, did not claim that they were the People of Kahf. He rather limits himself to reporting what was popular. But, Abu Hayyan, the seventh century commentator from al-Andulus (Spain) was born in al-Gharnatah itself in Hijrah year 654. There he was raised and there he lived. He too mentions the cave of al-Gharnațah in his Tafsir, al-Baḥr al-Muḥit, very much like al-Qurțubi has done. After having written about the eye witness account of Ibn 'Atiyyah, he says, 'when I was in al-Andulus (that is, before shifting to Cairo), many people went to see this cave. They used to say that, despite

that those bodies are still there and the visitors do count them out but, somehow, they always make a mistake in giving their correct number.' After that he said, 'as for the city of Raqyus mentioned by Ibn 'Aṭiyyah which is located on the side of al-Gharnatah that faces the Qiblah, I have myself passed by it so many times and I have seen unusually large rocks in it.' After that, he says: " ويترجع كون اهل الكهف بالاندلس لكثرة دين النصارى بها " (one reason for preferring the possibility of the People of Kahf being in al-Andulus could be that it is predominantly Christian to the extent that this particular region is their largest religious center - Tafsīr al-Baḥr al-Muḥīţ, p. 102, v. 6).

Tafsīr authority Ibn Jarīr and Ibn Abī Ḥātim following a report of 'Awfī from Sayyidnā Ibn 'Abbās say that Raqīm is the name of a valley which is located near Ailah ('Aqabah) south of Palestine. And the two of them along with other Ḥadīth experts also report from Sayyidnā 'Abdullāh ibn 'Abbās that he said, 'I do not know what Raqīm is. But, when I asked Ka'b al-Aḥbār, he said that Raqīm is the name of the town where the People of the Kahf lived before going into the Cave.' (Rūḥ al-Ma'ānī)

Ibn Abi Shaibah, Ibn al-Mundhir and Ibn Abi Hatim report Sayyidna 'Abdullah ibn 'Abbas die to have said, 'we waged a Jihad against Byzantine forces in the company of Sayyidna Mu'awiyah & which is known as the battle of al-Madia (المضيق). On this trip, we passed through the site of the Cave of the People of Kahf. The Qur'an mentions it. Sayvidna Mu'awiyah wanted to go into the cave and see the bodies of the People of Kahf. Sayyidna Ibn 'Abbas said, 'let us not do so because Allah Ta'ala has already prohibited someone better than you from seeing them, that is, the لَواطَّلَعُتَ عَلَيْهِمُ لَوَّلَّيْتَ مِنْهُمُ Rasul of Allah. Is it not that Allah says in the Qur'an: لَواطَّلَعُتَ عَلَيْهِمُ لَوَّلَّيْتَ مِنْهُمُ If you had a look at them, you would have fled away) فِرَارَاوْلَمُلِكُتَ مِنْهُمُ رُعُبًا from them and would have been filled with awe of them - 18)? But, Sayyidna Mu'awiyah did not accept this suggestion of Sayyidna Ibn 'Abbas perhaps for the reason that the state in which they were portrayed by the Qur'an related to the time when they were alive and it was not necessary that they would still be found in the same state. Therefore, a few men were sent to have a look at them. They reached the cave. But, when they wanted to enter into the cave, Allah Ta'ala sent a punishing wind upon them that turned all of them out and away from the cave. (Ruh

al-Ma'ani p. 227, v. 15)

The reports and narratives appearing above establish that commentators have given three locations for the site of the Cave of the People of Kahf. Firstly, in Ailah near 'Aqabah on the shore of the Gulf of 'Aqabah. Most of the narrations from Sayyidnā Ibn 'Abbās favor this interpretation.

From the personal observation of Ibn 'Atiyyah, and Abu Hayyan's support, it seems likely that this cave is in al-Gharnatah, al-Andulus (Spain). Out of these two places, the name of a city or particular building has also been given as Raqim. Similarly, the name of the great structure in ruins close to the cave in al-Gharnatah has been cited as Raqim. Then, none of the two kinds of reports decisively say that this cave was The Cave of the People of Kahf. Both rely on popular local talk and oral tradition. As for the old name of the city where the People of Kahf lived, it has been mentioned as Ifsus (Ephesus as in the Epistle of Paul the Apostle to the Ephesians - Holy Bible, p. 239), with Tarsus as its Islamic name, in nearly all Tafsir reports of al-Qurtubi, Abu Hayyan and Ibn Jarir. That this city was located on the western coast of Asia Minor is a fact accepted by historians. This shows that the Cave is also within Asia Minor. Therefore, there is no proof to declare any one of these as true and the rest as false. As for probability, all three are probable. In fact, no one can deny the very probability that the events relating to these caves, despite being true, may not be relevant to the Cave of the People of Kahf that has been mentioned in the Qur'an. May be, it is somewhere else. And it is also not necessary that Ragim at this place may exclusively be the name of some city or building. In fact, no one can reject even the probability that Raqim denotes the inscription engraved with the names of the People of Kahf on a tablet and placed on the entrance to the cave by some king.

Investigations of Modern Historians

Some contemporary historians have made considerable efforts to determine the place and time of the Cave of the People of Kahf with the help of Christian and European historical accounts.

Abul-Kalam Āzad has declared the present city of Petra near Ailah ('Aqabah) - Arabicized by Arab historians as Baṭrā - as the old city of Ra-qīm. With reference to current historical accounts, he reports the rem-

nants of a cave in the mountain and of some mosque adjacent to it. In confirmation, he refers to the Book of Joshua in the Old Testament (18:27) where the place has been mentioned as Raqm or Raqīm. According to him, this is the place now called Petra. But, this has been considered doubtful as the reference to Raqm or Raqīm in the Book of Joshua is connected with the inheritance of Banī bin Yamin (Benyamin). Then, this territory was located west of River Jordan and the Dead Sea where the city of Petra could have not possibly been located. Therefore, contemporary archaeologists are very reluctant in accepting Petra and Raqīm as one and the same. (Encyclopaedia Britannica 1946, v. 17, p. 658)

Commentators in general have pointed out to the city of Ifsus, a major Byzantine city on the western coast of Asia Minor the remains of which are still found twenty or twenty five miles south of the modern Turkish city of Izmīr (Samarna).

Maulanā Sayyid Sulaimān Nadwī while mentioning the city of Petra in his book, Arḍ al-Qur'ān, has put Raqīm in parenthesis. But, he has not given any evidence in support of the proposition that the old name of the city of Petra was Raqīm. Maulanā Ḥifzur-Raḥmān Sihwārwī has also adopted this view. For evidence, he refers to Torah: The book of Numbers and the book of Isaiah and gives the name of Petra as Raqīmah. (Dā'irah al-Ma'ārif al-'Arabiyah)

When a cave was discovered in a desolate jungle area close to 'Amman in the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, the Archaeological Department of the Government started digging operations on that site in the year 1963. After having removed the upper strata of topsoil and rocks, they found six coffins filled with bones and stones, and two graves. Towards the south side of the cave, they found inscriptions on rocks in Byzantian script. Local people think that this is the place called Raqim close to which is this cave of the People of Kahf. Allah knows best.

My revered teacher and master, Maulanā Ashraf Alī Thānavī, relying on Tafsīr Ḥaqqānī, has reported the following historical account of the place and time of the People of Kahf. According to this account, when the People of Kahf had escaped the tyranny of the king of the time and taken shelter in the cave, the time was the year 250 A.D. Then they remained asleep for three hundred years. Thus, it comes to a total of 550

A.D. And the Holy Prophet was born in 570 A.D. Therefore, this event of their wakening occurred twenty years before the birth of the Holy Prophet. Then, in Tafsir Ḥaqqāni as well, their locale has been determined as the city of Ifsūs or Ṭarsūs that used to be in Asia Minor. Its ruins still remain. And Allah knows best the reality of it.

These historical and geographical details have been given here from the reports of classical commentators, then from contemporary historians. This humble writer had already submitted that neither does the understanding of any verse of the Qur'an depend on these, nor does any essential part of the objective for which the Qur'an has related this story belongs thereto. Then, relevant reports and stories and their indicators and connections are so different that, despite all investigations and efforts, it is just not possible to take any categorical decision in this matter. Having preferences and inclinations is all that is left to do here. But, in our time, educated people have acquired an increased taste in historical investigations. It is for this satisfaction that these details have been reported for they, by way of introduction and hypothesis, tell us at least this much that this event came to pass after Sayyidna 'Isa Kull close to the time of the Holy Prophet . And that most reports seem to agree on this being near the city of Ifsus or Tarsus. Even then, Allah knows best. And the truth is that we, after all these investigations, are standing where we had started from - that there is no need to fix an exact location, nor can this be fixed with any certain modality. The Tafsir and Ḥadīth authority, Ibn Kathīr has said exactly this about it:

Allah Taʿalā has already told us about that. And He expects us to understand it and deliberate into it. And He did not tell us about the location of this Cave in a particular city out of this earth because there is nothing beneficial for us in it nor does any religious objective hinge upon it - Ibn Kathir, v. 3, p. 75.

When did the event relating to the People of Kahf come to pass and why did they take shelter in the Cave?

This segment of the story is also the same upon which neither does the understanding of any verse of the Qur'an depend, nor does it influence the objective of the story in any significant way, nor do the Qur'an and Sunnah make any statement about it. What we have here is no more than historical stories. Therefore, in Tafsīr al-Baḥr al-Muḥīṭ, Commentator Abū Hayyan has said:

And narrators differ in stories about them, and about how they got together and moved out, and the mode and manner of that has neither been mentioned in any authentic Ḥadīth nor in the Quran - al-Baḥr al-Muhīt, p. 10l, v. 6.

However, when we provided some information about the site of the remnants of the People of Kahf a little earlier, it was in consideration of the curiosity of contemporary temperament. For the same reason, we are providing here brief notes of information regarding the period and causes of the passing of this event from exegetic and historical reports. As for a detailed and comprehensive description of this story, the respected scholar, Qāḍi Thanā'ullāh Pānipati has reported it on the authority of different narratives in his Tafsir Mazhari. But, given here is a brief version of the event that Ibn Kathir has presented with reference to many early and later commentators. He says:

"The Ashab al-Kahf were the progeny of kings and chiefs among their people who were idol-worshippers. Once their people went out of the town to participate in some religious festival of theirs. This was a place where they met every year, worshipped their idols and sacrificed animals to please them. Their king was a tyrant. Called Dagyanus, he used to force his people to worship idols. That year, when everyone had gathered together in this festival, these young men identified as the Aṣḥāb al-Kahf also reached there and saw their people taking rocks carved with their own hands as God, worshipping them and sacrificing for them. At that time, Allah Ta'ala blessed them with the good sense to shun this absurd practice of their people. Thus, when they used their reason, they arrived at the conclusion that this worship belongs to none but that supreme Power who has created the heavens and the earth and everything therein. This thought crossed the minds of those few young men simultaneously and they started moving away from there to avoid the absurd practice of their people in the fair name of worship. The first young man who withdrew went far from the crowd and sat down under a

tree. After that, came another and he too sat there. Similarly, came the third, and the fourth, and each one of them kept taking a seat under the tree. But, none of them were familiar with each other, nor did they know as to why they had come there. The truth of the matter is that they were brought together on that spot by the Power that lit the light of faith in their hearts."

The real foundation of nationalism and collectivism

After having transmitted that, Ibn Kathīr comments that people tend to take nationalism and common race as the cause of cohesion and union in social life. But, the reality lies in what has been said in a Ḥadīth of Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, that unity or disunity first germinates in souls, then it affects the bodies in this world. Souls that have experienced congruity and unity among them in 'azal (eternity) go on to become mutually connected and get molded into the form of a confraternity. As for those that did not have the experience of this mutual congruity and unity - in fact, remained separated there - they will remain separated here too. Take this very event as an example and see how the same thought crossed everyone's mind separately and it was this thought that brought everyone unconsciously together.

In short, these people got together at one place all right, but everyone was concealing his belief from the other lest this person reports him to the king and he gets arrested. After having remained there all together in silence, one of them spoke out, 'brothers, there must be some reason why all of us have broken away from our people and reached here. It seems appropriate that we all should get to know each other's thoughts.' Thereupon, one person declared, 'the truth is that the faith and worship in which I found my people involved gave me the certitude that this whole thing is false. 'Ibadah or worship should be for One Allah who is most exalted in His majesty and who has no partner or associate in the act of the creation of this universe.' This broke the ice. Others were prompted to speak out and they all declared that this was the thought, the belief that separated them from their people and brought them there.

Now they were an ideologically unified group enjoying mutual fellowship and friendship. They set up a separate House of Worship for themselves where they would assemble and worship Allah who is One and who has no partner in His divinity.

But, by and by they became the talk of the town. Backbiters told on them before the king who ordered that they all should be brought to him. When they came into the royal court, the king asked them about their belief and its mores. Allah gave them the courage to state their belief in the Oneness of Allah. In fact, they invited the king himself to believe as they did. This is precisely what has been mentioned in the verses appearing next:

(And We made their hearts firm. And when they rose, they said, "Our Lord is the Lord of the heavens and the earth; and we shall never invoke any god other than Him, for then we would be saying something far from the truth. These are people of ours. They have taken to gods other than Him. Why do they not bring a proof in their favor? So, who is more unjust than the one who fabricates a lie against Allah?" - al-Kahf, 18:14,15)

When these young men acted bold before the king and invited him to believe, he turned the call down and threatened them with drastic action. He had the princely robes they donned on their bodies taken off in reprisal so that they may think and change their behavior. In order that they actually do so, he gave them respite for a few days saying that they were young, therefore, he did not wish to kill them right away. In fact, he wanted them to have the time to think over it. Then, if they reverted to the faith of their people, they will be allowed to live as usual, otherwise they would be killed.

It must have been the mercy of Allah Taʿalā on His believing servants that this respite given to them opened an escape door for them. They ran from there and took refuge in a cave.

Usual reports carried by commentators agree that these people were followers of the faith of Sayyidnā 'Īsā Masīḥ ﷺ. Ibn Kathīr and most commentators have mentioned it. However, Ibn Kathīr has not accepted it. According to him, had these people been the followers of the Christian faith, the Jews of Madīnah would have not suggested that a question be asked about them just because of their mutual hostility and thus would have not given them that importance. But, this is not a sufficient basis because of which all reports should be rejected. When the Jews of Madīn

ah proposed that such a question should be asked, they were simply looking at it as a unique event - very similar to the question about Dhul-Qarnain which was also on that basis. That there was no Jewish-Christian prejudice involved in questions of this nature is fairly obvious here.

In Tafsir Mazhari, based on a report from Ibn Isḥāq, these people have been identified as monotheists who, after the decline of the original Christian faith, were among the rare remnants still adhering to the true faith of the Sayyidnā 'Īsā is and to pure monotheism. In this report of Ibn Isḥāq too, the name of the oppressive king has been given as Daqyānūs while the city in which these young men lived before they went into hiding in the cave has been called Ifsūs.

Then, the event has been described in the same manner in the report of Sayyidnā 'Abdullāh ibn 'Abbās as well and the name of the king has been given as Daqyānūs. The report of Ibn Isḥāq also adds that the name of the king of the people who were followers of the faith of Sayyidnā Masīḥ and had taken over the country at the time the Aṣḥāb al-Kahf woke up was Baidusis.

So, at least the strong likelihood of the People of Kahf being committed to the true faith brought by Sayyidnā Isa Masīḥ stands proved from the reports as a whole, and that they belong to the post-Masīḥ period, and that the Mushrik king they ran from was called Daqyānūs. At the time they woke up after three hundred and nine years, the name of the righteous and believing king who ruled the country has been named as Baidūsīs in the report of Ibn Isḥāq. If this is seen in conjunction with the contemporary calendar, it is possible to determine their period, at the least as a matter of conjecture and approximation. Trying to determine it any more than that is needless, nor there exist the means to acquire this knowledge.

Are the People of Kahf still alive?

In this matter, the correct and obvious approach is that they have died. Tafsīr Mazharī carries the detailed report of Ibn Isḥāq. According to this report, when the People of Kahf woke up, their unique event became the talk of the town. They went to meet the king. When they took leave of king Baidūsīs, they bid farewell to him and prayed for him. The

king was still there when they went back to the place only to lie down where they had been lying for such a long time. And that was exactly when Allah Taʿalā sent death to them.

At this stage, the following report from Sayyidnā 'Abdullāh ibn 'Abbās has been reproduced by Ibn Jarīr, Ibn Kathīr and many more from among the commentators:

قَالَ قَتَادَةُ غَزَا ابُنُ عَبَّاسٍ مَعَ حَبِيب بُنِ مَسُلَمَةَ فَمَرُّوا بِكَهُفِ فِي بِلاَدِ الرُّومِ فَرَاوا فِيُهِ عِظَامًا فَقَالَ قَائِلٌ هَذِهِ عِظَامَ اَهُلِ الْكَهُفِ فَقَالَ ابُنُ عَبَّاسٍ ۖ لَقَدُ بَلِيَتُ عِظَامُهُمُ مِّنُ الْكُوْرَ مِن تُلْشِعِائَةِ سِنَةٍ (اِن كثير)

Qatadah says that Sayyidna Ibn 'Abbas participated in a Jihad in the company of Ḥabib ibn Maslamah when they passed by a cave in the Roman territory. There they saw bones in it. Then someone said, 'These are the bones of the People of Kahf.' Thereupon, Ibn 'Abbas said, 'The bones of them have already become dust more than three hundred years ago' - Ibn Kathir.

These were particular segments of the story that were neither described by the Qur'an, nor by the Ḥadīth of the Holy Prophet . In addition to that, neither does some specified purpose of this event or the understanding of any verse of the Qur'an depend on it - nor can any categorical decision be arrived at in these matters on the basis of historical reports. As far as the remaining segments of the story already described within the text of the Qur'an are concerned, their details appear under the commentary on relevant verses.

Up to this point, the Qur'an had mentioned this story in brief. Details follow.

Verses 13 - 16

نَحُنُ نَقُصُّ عَلَيُكَ نَبَاهُمُ بِالْحَقِّ ﴿ إِنَّهُمُ فِتْيَةٌ امَنُوابِرَبِّهِمُ وَزِدُنْهُمُ هُدًى وَمِنْ السَّمُواتِ هُدًى وَبَهُ وَرَبُنَا رَبُّ السَّمُواتِ هُدًى وَالْأَرُضِ لَنُ نَّدُعُوا مِنُ دُونِهَ اللها لَّقَدُ قُلْنَا إِذًا شَطَطًا ﴿١٠﴾ هَوُلآءِ وَالأَرُضِ لَنُ نَّدُعُوا مِنُ دُونِهَ الِها لَقَدُ قُلْنَا إِذًا شَطَطًا ﴿١٠﴾ هَوُلآءِ قَومُنَا اتَّحَذُوا مِن دُونِهَ الِها قَالُولاَ يَاتُونَ عَلَيْهِمُ بِسُلُطنٍ ابَيِّنٍ ﴿ فَمَنُ قُومُنَا اتَّحَذُوا مِن دُونِهَ الِها قَالَ لَولاَ يَاتُونَ عَلَيْهِمُ بِسُلُطنٍ ابَيِّنٍ ﴿ فَمَنُ

اَظْلَمُ مِمَّنِ افْتَرَى عَلَى اللهِ كَذِبًا ﴿ أَهُ ﴾ وَإِذِا عُتَزَلْتُمُوهُمُ وَمَا يَعُبُدُونَ اللهَ فَاوْ اللهَ فَالْ فَا اللهَ فَاوْ اللهَ فَاوْ اللهَ فَاوْ اللهَ فَاوْ اللهَ فَاللهِ اللهِ اللهُ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهُ اللهُ اللهُ اللهُ اللهُ اللهِ ال

We narrate to you their story with truth. They were young men who believed in their Lord and We increased, them in guidance. [13] And We made their hearts firm and when they rose, they said, "Our Lord is the Lord of the heavens and the earth; and we shall never invoke any god other than Him, for then we would be saying something far from the truth. [14] These are people of ours. They have taken to gods other than Him. Why do they not bring a clear proof in their favor? So, who is more unjust than the one who fabricates a lie against Allah? [15]

And when you have turned away from them, and those they worship, except Allah, then seek refuge in the cave and your Lord will unfold His mercy for you and provide you ease in your matters." [16]

Commentary

The word: (fityah) in: (They were young men - 13) is the plural of: (fatā) which means someone young. According to Tafsīr scholars, this word indicates that the time ripe for correction of deeds and morals, and the inculcation of guidance and righteousness, is invariably the time when one is young. When old, formerly acquired deeds and morals become so deeply rooted that - no matter how evident becomes the truth against these - it is very difficult to break loose from their shackles. Those among the noble Companions who responded to and believed in the call of the Holy Prophet were, after all, mostly young people. (Ibn Kathīr, Abū Ḥayyān)

The first sentence of verse 14: وَرَبَطْنَا عَلَى قُلُوبِهِمُ (And We made their hearts firm) refers to the event described by Ibn Kathir a little earlier. This tells us that Allah made the hearts of these people firm when the king who was cruel and worshipped idols summoned them in his court and questioned them. This was a matter of life and death. But, despite their apprehension for the worst, Allah Taʿalā made His love, awe and grandeur prevail over their hearts which empowered them to face any

eventuality of death or distress. The outcome was that they proclaimed their belief clearly and courageously saying that they did not worship anyone or anything other than Allah and would not do that in future as well. People who firmly resolve to do something for the sake of Allah, this is how they receive help from Allah Taʻala.

About the verse: غُاوًا إِلَى الْكَهُنِ (then seek refuge in the Cave - 16), Ibn Kathīr says that the course adopted by the People of Kahf was that they left the city in which it was not possible to worship Allah and took refuge in the Cave. This is the Sunnah, the way of all prophets. They migrate from such places and opt for a place where 'Ibadah could be done.

Verses 17 - 18

وَتَرَى الشَّمُسَ إِذَا طَلَعَتُ تَّزُورُ عَنُ كَهُفِهِمُ ذَاتَ الْيَمِينِ وَإِذَا غَرَبَتُ تَقُوضُهُمُ ذَاتَ الْسَيِّمَالِ وَهُمُ فِي فَحُوةٍ مِّنْهُ لَمْ ذَلِكَ مِنُ الْيَتِ عَرَبَتُ تَقُوضُهُمُ ذَاتَ الْسِيِّمَالِ وَهُمُ فِي فَحُوةٍ مِّنْهُ لَا ذَلِكَ مِنُ الْيَتِ اللَّهِ مَنُ يَّهُدِ اللَّهُ فَهُوالمُهُتَدِ وَمَنُ يُصْلِلُ فَلَنُ تَجِدَ لَهُ وَلِيًّا مُّرُشِدًا اللهِ مَن يَّهُ وَلَيًّا مُرشِدًا وَهُمُ رُقُودٌ مِنْ وَنُولًا فَلَن تَجِدَ لَهُ وَلِيًّا مُرشِدًا اللهِ مَن يَعْهُمُ وَتَحُسَبُهُمُ اللهُ مَن اللهِ مَن مَنهُمُ وَمُنا هَم اللهِ مَن اللهُ مَن اللهِ مَن اللهُ مَن اللهِ مَن اللهُ مَنْ اللهُ مَنْ اللهُ مَن اللهُ مُن اللهُ مَن اللهُ مُن اللهُ اللهُ مَن اللهُ مَا مُن اللهُ مَن اللهُ مَن اللهُ مَن اللهُ اللهُ مَن اللهُ مَن اللهُ مَنْ اللهُ مَن اللهُ مَن اللهُ مَن اللهُ اللهُ مَن اللهُ مَن

And you see the sun, when it rises, it turns away from their Cave towards the right; and when it sets, it bypasses them towards the left - and they are in the hollow thereof. That is one of the signs of Allah. Whomsoever Allah guides is the one who gets the right path and whomsoever He lets go astray, you will find for him no one to help, no one to lead. [17] And you think they are awake while they are asleep. And We turn them on their sides, right and left. And their dog has its forelegs stretched out to the doorstep. If you had a look at them, you would have fled away from them and would have been filled with awe of them. [18]

Commentary

In these verses, Allah Ta'ala has told us about three states of the Peo-